

Tribunal Pénal International pour l'ex Yougoslavie

1	Monday, 15 June 2009
2	[Open session]
3	[The accused entered court]
4	[The accused Prlic and Coric not present]
5	[The witness takes the stand]
6	Upon commencing at 2.16 p.m.
7	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Registrar, could you please
8	call the case.
9	THE REGISTRAR: Good afternoon, Your Honours. Good afternoon,
10	everyone in and around the courtroom. This is case number IT-04-74-T,
11	the Prosecutor versus Prlic et al. Thank you, Your Honours.
12	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Thank you, Registrar. This
13	Monday, 15 June 2009, I greet first Mr. Praljak and Mr. Pusic,
14	Mr. Petkovic, and Mr. Stojic. I greet counsel and the accused who for
15	several reasons are not present. I also greet Mr. Stringer, and
16	Mr. Scott, and their collaborators, and all the people assisting us.
17	I will first give the floor to the Registrar.
18	THE REGISTRAR: Thank you, Your Honour. 3D has submitted its
19	fifth batch of list of documents to be tendered via Witness
20	Slobodan Praljak. This list shall be given Exhibit IC1029. Thank you,
21	Your Honours.
22	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Thank you. The Chamber is now

23	going to give two decisions. First decisions first decision, oral:
24	In the hearing of June 2009, the Praljak Defence requested the
25	Chamber to benefit from 15 minutes of more time to treat certain items,

1	the Mujahedin, within the framework of the examination-in-chief. They
2	will be given 15 minutes extra time, which will be counted from the
3	global time given to the Praljak Defence.
4	As you know, the Chamber has given 55 hours globally to the
5	Praljak Defence, and to date the Praljak Defence has already used exactly
6	41 hours and 31 minutes.
7	Second decision given orally: Oral decision concerning the
8	notices deposited filed by the parties.
9	The Chamber notes that as from several months, the Praljak
10	Defence has filed a certain number of notices relating to several issues.
11	As an example, the Chamber refers to the notice concerning the tu quoque
12	principle filed by Slobodan Praljak on the 18th of February, 2009.
13	Prosecution has in turn filed a number of notices to answer the
14	Praljak Defence and the Praljak Defence has in turn filed replies.
15	For clarity's sake, the Chamber will recall that pursuant to the
16	rules, it is seized of a matter only when the party concerned files it as
17	a proper motion, which then enables the other parties to respond.
18	Therefore, the Chamber does not consider that it is seized of the
19	questions presented in the forms of notices or correspondence exchanged
20	between the parties. Therefore, it invites the parties to abstain from

21 sending such notices to the Chamber.

22 So this is the second oral decision.

23 Now I think, Mr. Kovacic needed two minutes.

24 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] Yes, Your Honour -- giving me the 25 floor. Good afternoon to everyone in the courtroom, in and around the

Page 41356

1 courtroom. And I'd just like to inform the Trial Chamber of the 2 following: First of all, that the Defence of General Praljak -- or, 3 rather, General Praljak and his Defence team have decided that during the cross-examination of General Praljak the Defence -- or, rather, the 4 5 Defence counsel will not be communicating with Mr. Praljak, and we'd like to inform the Chamber thereof and the opposite side, too. The reason for 6 7 this being that we wish to contribute to the authenticity of our client's 8 testimony and so that the Prosecution or anybody else should not try to belittle the value of the testimony, especially this part of the 9 10 cross-examination and testimony, because the accused had the opportunity 11 to consult his Defence. So the Defence team and Defence counsel, 12 therefore, feel that General Praljak needs no assistance in that respect, 13 no assistance with respect to the facts and the circumstances, and because he knows much more about this than Defence counsel, which is 14 15 always the case. The client always knows more. 16 The second proposal I'd like to make to the Trial Chamber, it's

17

18 18th of May, 2005, decision, which is an amendment to your previous

an oral motion, in actual fact, you informed us you -- of the

19	order, which we call guidelines, with respect to paragraphs 32, line 8.
20	On the 18th of May, as I say, 2009, you told the Defence to table an
21	IC list on every Monday during the testimony, which is what we did. We
22	followed those guidelines and provided it on Monday. However, in your
23	decision and ruling there was the original decision made in the
24	guidelines whereby the position comes at the end of the testimony.
25	Now, my proposal is for the efficaciousness of these proceedings

16

Page 41357

1	it would be a good idea if the opposite side were duty-bound to reply and
2	challenge the IC exhibit list once we have it in its entirety, to voice
3	their objections, for example, or raise them within the next few days
4	or or perhaps the following Monday, for practical reasons, to gain
5	time, to make these proceedings as efficient as possible, because then
6	this would reply this would require our response as the list of
7	documents is fairly lengthy.
8	So my proposal is that the Prosecution look at our IC list and if
9	they have any objections, and of course this applies to the other Defence
10	teams, that they raise those objections at least by Monday of the
11	following week.
12	Thank you, Your Honour.
13	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] The Chamber will deliberate.
14	Mr. Stringer, you wanted the floor at this stage or would you
15	like to have some time to reflect?

MR. STRINGER: Yes, Mr. President, if we could just have a little

17 bit of time to reflect on that, and I can check to see what Mr. Scott 18 thinks as well. Thank you. 19 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. So we are now going to start with the 15 minutes on the Mujahedin. Therefore, I give the 20 21 floor again to -- to Mr. Khan, whom I greet again. I saw you this morning on television, on the monitor. I see you are working morning, 22 23 afternoon and evening. So it is with pleasure that I give you the floor. 24 MR. KHAN: Mr. President, firstly, thank you so much for that very warm and kind greeting. 25

Page 41358

1 Your Honour, I'm very sorry to have to report that my lead counsel is absent today. She lost her sister over the weekend, and the 2 funeral is today. We have been in contact with her, and we are not 3 certain that she will be back tomorrow. After consultation with our 4 5 client and given the importance of this particular cross-examination, we 6 just do read into the record that it will be our application, hopefully 7 there won't be difficulties from my friends, that if lead counsel is not 8 back, we be put back after other people have finished their 9 cross-examination. Your Honour, that was the basis of me standing to address Your Honours. 10 11 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Thank you, Mr. Khan. You had announced this piece of news. We will express our condolences to your 12 counsel when she will be back, and there are circumstances in life when 13 14 unfortunately one cannot be present, and it is in this situation that you 15 will put in for her as counsel associated for the defence of Mr. Stojic. 16 Now, concerning the 15 minutes which have been granted, 17 Mrs. Pinter. 18 MS. PINTER: [Interpretation] Good afternoon, Your Honours. Good 19 afternoon to everybody else in the courtroom. The Defence of 20 General Praljak, for the moment, doesn't have the book "The Mujahedin." 21 We didn't prepare that, because as things stood on Thursday when we 22 concluded our defence, the general didn't bring in these books, "The Mujahedin," that we were supposed to discuss. 23

24 What I want to say is that we're not going to go back to the 25 Mujahedin, the subject of Mujahedin, nor are we going to extend our

Page 41359

examination-in-chief. We would like to thank the Trial Chamber for their 1 2 ruling today, but we've already decided that we have concluded our 3 examination-in-chief. So thank you. 4 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. Thank you very 5 much, Mrs. Pinter. 6 So, Mr. Praljak, I shall now start asking my questions. 7 WITNESS: SLOBODAN PRALJAK [Resumed] [Witness answered through interpreter] 8 9 Questioned by the Court: JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] First I wanted to tell you as a 10 forward. You have here a Judge who is going to ask you questions totally 11 12 impartially. You know, just like me, that I have been the Judge of the

13 confirmation. Therefore, you may suppose, you may assume that I have 14 already an idea on whether you are innocent or guilty inasmuch as I 15 confirmed the indictment and I delivered an arrest warrant against you. The Judge of confirmation in this Tribunal is seized by the 16 Prosecution of request for confirmation of an indictment as from some 17 cubic metres of evidence sometimes. Several years ago I was seized of a 18 19 request for confirmation against yourself and your other comrades here 20 for an indictment, and in the framework of this work, I fixed myself, as a rule, only the fact of verifying whether what was written by the 21 Prosecution was confirmed by document without going in depth whether it 22 was well founded or not. Therefore, I checked that if it was alleged 23 that there had been crimes, there was at least one document who spoke 24 25 about these crimes. That was my first job. Then I checked the names for

Page 41360

1	the possible accused. There were hearings of these persons, and if there
2	were documents which would enable us to check, this was my second work.
3	The third job was to check whether the counts were indeed
4	foreseen by the Statute and were those which were confirmed by the case
5	law of our Tribunal. So prima facie I established an indictment. And I
6	wanted to tell you this so that you should know that vis-a-vis this
7	indictment I am totally free to ask you questions without any prejudice,
8	any bias.

9 I am aware that there may be a problem. Remember that when you
10 were present without your counsel I had myself raised this problem,

11 telling you and the counsel who were present that I had been the Judge of 12 confirmation, the Confirming Judge, but that the Appeals Chamber, because 13 of the small number of Judges, had considered that there wasn't any impossibility for the confirmation Judge to also judge on the merits. 14 And at the time I had told everybody, and there had been no request 15 16 against me -- disqualification against me at the time, no request. 17 So I wanted you to know this so that you understand, well, that 18 in my questions and my way of proceeding it is the way of proceeding of a totally independent Judge, totally impartial in the regard of the 19 prosecution, and we shall then see the key points of the prosecution and 20 of the key points of what is reproach to you. 21 22 Secondly, I wanted to tell you, Mr. Praljak, that from my point 23 of view you had -- could defend yourself. You were completely capable of doing so, and you were alone during the Status Conference, and these 24

25 matters were read out. I could have incited you to defend yourself

1	alone, but since there were five other accused, I considered that in
2	order not to perturb the hearings, so that everybody could be on the same
3	footing, it would be necessary for you to be assisted by counsel.
4	Therefore, I did all I could to have Mr Mrs. Pinter and Mr. Kovacic
5	could assist you. But if you had been alone to be judged, I would have
6	seen no problem myself in your assuming yourself your own defence, since
7	there have been already examples in the history of this Tribunal,
8	Slobodan Milosevic, Vojislav Seselj, and more recently Mr. Radovan

9 Karadzic. But taking account of the fact that there are several accused, 10 you understand that everybody must have their counsel, and this is the 11 reason why I took the steps for you to have also with you your own 12 counsel.

13 As from the beginning you've seen there have been some problems because you wanted to continue to defend yourself personally, and we were 14 15 not always on the same plane with my colleagues because you thought you 16 might ask questions, and the majority of Judges was of a different opinion. The Appeals Chambers decided, and -- decided that you could ask 17 questions within your competence, the field, and on this matter I have to 18 tell you that personally I think you have a very wide field of competence 19 20 inasmuch as you have had the responsibility of military commander at a 21 very high level and have also been a political figure in the life of 22 Croatia. You told us recently you were Secretary-General of a small political party. You were deputy minister of the Republic of Croatia. 23 24 You also were in artistic and literary field a very important part of the 25 cultural life in Croatia. Therefore, you have a thorough knowledge. I

Page 41362

see that by your -- also your training in philosophy and sociology.
Therefore, you can treat of several fields.
Personally, I consider that you were perfectly capable of -- of
asking any questions even if sometimes the form of the questions might
have been a problem because since you're not a jurist, a lawyer, the
questions were not always asked properly, but the Judges are there to

7 rectify these matters.

8	I know that for several years you have been waiting for this
9	moment, which is very important for you, to be able to answer questions,
10	because I remember that you had told us you had told me, and you said
11	so also to my colleagues when the Chamber was constituted that you
12	were wanted to bear witness and say everything you wished to say.
13	Unfortunately, because of these procedures, we had to wait three years
14	before you could speak to us, address to us address us in total
15	freedom in order to answer these questions.
16	So I know this moment is very important in your life. You were
16 17	So I know this moment is very important in your life. You were waiting for this moment. This moment has now come. Therefore, I am now
-	
17	waiting for this moment. This moment has now come. Therefore, I am now
17 18	waiting for this moment. This moment has now come. Therefore, I am now going to start my own questions, a series of questions. I sent to your
17 18 19	waiting for this moment. This moment has now come. Therefore, I am now going to start my own questions, a series of questions. I sent to your counsel several lists. There are seven lists with different documents
17 18 19 20	waiting for this moment. This moment has now come. Therefore, I am now going to start my own questions, a series of questions. I sent to your counsel several lists. There are seven lists with different documents which are mentioned. Perhaps I won't have time to talk about all these

24 ask you some more personal and familial questions, because in this
25 procedure at the Tribunal, the personal life of the accused, the family

1	environment, is sometimes not very clear. Psychiatric and psychological
2	aspects are not always taken into consideration, while in a country like
3	mine there is a whole file which is made on the person or elements
4	concerning the accused so that the Judge may better know the person they

5 have to judge. And since I wish to know you a bit better, I will start 6 on certain questions concerning your family -- family environment. 7 You told us a few days ago that your father was, unfortunately -unfortunately died in August 1993, while you were in a meeting, a working 8 9 meeting in Bosnia-Herzegovina, but I was given no information about your 10 mother. So could you tell us if your mother is still alive or whether she's deceased? 11 12 A. My mother has died. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. In which year? 13 1996. 1996 or 1997. Three or four years after the death of my 14 Α. father. I can't give you an exact year. 1997, I believe. 15 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. So she died after 16 17 your father. We have learnt that your parents had worked in the state security services in the days of the ex-Yugoslavia -- former Yugoslavia. 18 So you were brought up in this context. The fact of having parents who 19 were narrowly connected with the security matters, did it have any effect 20 21 on you or no effect at all? 22 A. Judge Antonetti, Your Honour, let me put you right. My mother 23 did not work in any -- in any services. After World War II, she was a 24 white-collar worker. She was a clerk, and several years later she 25 retired with an invalid pension. My father worked and he retired as a

Page 41364

1 relatively young man. He was -- well, in 1962, 1963, because the kind of 2 man he was, and of course it was a Communist radical organisation, but he 3 was -- he sort of bothered people. But there were, of course, radical 4 and more radical streams.

5 That's the first piece of information, but if I might say the following, Judge Antonetti: As the son of those parents from 6 7 Western Herzegovina, in the system that prevailed and that we've 8 discussed here, I had excellent possibilities, and rarely did people in 9 the former state have that kind of opportunity to -- I had the 10 opportunity of entering the lofty party spheres. I could choose whether I was going to be a member of the Central Committee of Bosnia-Herzegovina 11 or Croatia. This was all laid before me. And all my colleagues know 12 that full well, here and there. 13 A Croat in Western Herzegovina, who was the son of Communist 14 parents, parents of the regime, well, all doors were open to such a 15 child. Now, Your Honours, I did not pass through any of those open 16 17 doors. At the time -- well, I'm not going to say I became fully conscious at the age of 18, fully conscious of everything that Communism 18 19 was, that would not be true, but there was another truth and here it is: 20 I knew that my friends from Siroki Brijeg, who was known as a sort of 21 Ustasha town because labels were placed on people. Gojko Susak, Penavic 22 and so on, all these young guys who were my friends and whom I socialised 23 with, they had no chance whatsoever. So all these friends of mine, 24 classroom friends or people who were a year older than me or a year 25 younger than me, they were proscribed by the very fact of having been

1 from Siroki Brijeg and Western Herzegovina, whereas all doors were open 2 to me of all state institutions, and at that time I opted for my friends, in favour of my friends. And then several years later while I 3 4 investigated everything that Communism was and meant and all the things 5 that I didn't learn in my parental home, I knew there were things that nobody dared say in school, I clashed politically with my father. 6 7 My mother is a Dalmatian. She is from Dalmatia, from the coast. 8 Her town was taken over by the Italians and she joined the partisans, simply following the same logic that I went towards; that is to say, she 9 didn't want to recognise Mussolini and his army in the area she lived in 10 11 and came from, and she came to realise that what she had fought for in World War II was not realised and implemented in Yugoslavia. But my 12 13 grandfather, that is, on my father's side, he was in prison because he fought against the regime of the Kingdom of Yugoslavia. 14 15 So as you can see, each generation creates something, builds 16 something and destroys something, at least in the areas from where we 17 come. He -- my father didn't gain anything from the regime. He was a very honest man. He received no benefits from the regime, nor did my 18 mother, and so I would never renounce my parents, of course. But I just 19 20 took a different political stand to my father, which was first of all 21 based on the fact that I -- I remained loyal to my friends because they 22 didn't have any chance of having -- of gaining a scholarship or having 23 the doors opened for them that I had opened before me. 24 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] As for the composition of your

25 family, your parents, were you an only child or did you have brothers and

1 sisters? 2 A. I have a sister and a brother. 3 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] And what does your sister or your brother do? 4 5 My sister is a university professor, or was at university in Α. 6 Sarajevo, and when the war broke out, she had taught as a professor in 7 Sarajevo and currently she is a full-time professor at the university in 8 Zagreb. 9 My brother is a dentist. She -- he has a Ph.D. in dentistry, and 10 he has his own private practice in Makarska as a private dentist. 11 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So you are intellectuals in the 12 family. You have a sister who is a university professor and a brother 13 who is a physician. As far as your departure for Zagreb is concerned, you went to 14 15 study in an engineering and technical school. On reading the indictment 16 again, I realised that Mr. Prlic studied economics at the Sarajevo faculty. 17 18 You studied in Zagreb. Was this choice made on geographical 19 grounds or did you make this choice because in Sarajevo there was no 20 engineering school with a speciality in electrical engineering? A. I don't know. It seems to me that there was no school of 21 22 electrical engineering in Sarajevo. There was one in Belgrade and 23 another one in Zagreb.

25 something of the sort. However, I went there because all of my friends

Page 41367

1	that I had gained while I was growing up in Siroki Brijeg, they all went
2	to Zagreb. That was my choice because I was going to be studying with
3	those same people with whom I was intimate, with whom I was friends.
4	My two years spent in Mostar was too short a period of time to
5	extend my circle of friends.
6	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Praljak, several days ago
7	you told us that most young students left for Zagreb. When you said
8	that, I had felt like asking you a question, but then I said to myself I
9	would put you the question today when I put all my questions to you, so
10	this is my question: The youths at the time that came from Mostar or its
11	surroundings, why did these young people go to Zagreb rather than go to
12	Sarajevo, because as I have just said, Mr. Prlic studied in Sarajevo.
13	Was it because it was near? Was it because the teaching in Zagreb was
14	better as opposed to Sarajevo? Why did you and your comrades, rather, go
15	to Zagreb rather than Sarajevo or Belgrade?
16	A. The truth is this: Western Herzegovina went to Croatia
17	rather, to Zagreb as a result of national interest. Most Serbs from
18	Herzegovina went to Belgrade, and a majority of Croats went to Zagreb. A
19	majority of Serbs went to study in Belgrade.
20	Later on as the university in Sarajevo developed, depending on

21 their possibilities, due to the vicinity of Sarajevo and Mostar, the

24

22 number of people who went to study in Sarajevo grew gradually.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] You are telling us that young people that were Serb preferred to go to Belgrade? The Croatian students rather go to Zagreb, and the Muslim students went to Sarajevo. All of

1	this happened in the Communist regime of the former Yugoslavia. In other
2	words, when you went to university, was the choice based on ethnicity?
3	A. Correct. Not only when choosing a school. In Yugoslavia
4	ethnicity played a role in almost everything, because the ethnical issue
5	was unresolved in Yugoslavia.
6	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] The Bench before you is not
7	familiar with the former Yugoslavia in the sense that we haven't lived
8	there, so this is something new to us. You are telling us that prior to
9	1991, let's say, the ethnic issue was an important issue, because one
10	decided what what one's career was going to be and what university was
11	going to choose based on one's ethnicity, and one decided to go to either
12	Zagreb or Belgrade or Sarajevo. Is that what you are telling us?
13	A. They didn't choose their profession based on their ethnicity, but
14	they did choose the place where they would study.
15	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] To finish off with this topic,
16	were there any comrades of yours decided not to go to Zagreb, Sarajevo,
17	or Belgrade, who decided to go to, let's say, Moscow or one of the cities
18	in the former USSR?
19	A. No, Your Honour. In Yugoslavia or during the time of

20 Yugoslavia, nobody went to study anywhere in the Soviet Bloc.

21 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So everyone remained in the 22 former Yugoslavia.

23 So you reached the age of 18, and you come to Zagreb. What 24 prompted you to go and study engineering? Was this an interesting 25 stream, professionally speaking, or were you enthused by the work

Page 41369

1 conducted by Fermi or Edison? Why did you choose this particular stream 2 specialising in electrical engineering? 3 A. Your Honours, I was not exactly much aware of what I wanted to 4 study at the age of 17 or 18. I was considering a lot of options. I was good at mathematics in high school, and I was being pushed, as it were, 5 to study either technical sciences or mathematics, because the perception 6 7 was of that profession to be safe, well paid, would provide a secure job, and that's how I went to study electrical engineering. 8 9 Already after the first year I realised that the -- I could complete my studies without any problems, and ${\tt I}$ could be an excellent 10 11 student. However, that I would not be satisfied if I spent my whole life

12 working as an engineer. To be honest, I was not an engineer by vocation.
13 I was a good student, but I was more interested in theory and I fell
14 apart after the first year, and for the next two years I did not even
15 study. I did all sorts of odd jobs. I drank a lot as well, and I was
16 simply looking for myself, searching for myself, and already while I was
17 a student at the grammar school in Mostar, I got involved in some theatre

things, and actually I realised that I wanted to be a theatre or a film director. I went to the film academy to see what this was all about. At that time, it lasted for two years, but the condition for enrolling was to be an undergraduate eligible for degree finals at one of the departments of the Faculty of Philosophy, and that's why I started studying philosophy and sociology in order to be able to continue at the Drama Academy. I went on to study electrical engineering. That was only when I

25 realised what is -- that was that I actually wanted. When that was out

Page 41370

of the way, I could complete any school so I went on to study electrical 1 2 engineering. However, in Yugoslavia there were ongoing school reforms, and when I almost completed my studies at the school of sociology and 3 philosophy, the film academy became a four-year school, and that's how I 4 5 enrolled as a full-time student at the school, at the film academy, and I completed all the other studies at the same time. 6 7 What I'm saying is I never wanted to give up. Once I enrolled at a school I wanted to finish. I wanted to go through the bitter end no 8 matter how long it would take. I didn't want to give up. 9 10 THE INTERPRETER: Microphone, Your Honour, please. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I'm sorry. 11 12 I would like to talk about the time you met your wife. What year did you get married in? 13 A. Your Honour, the first time I got married was in 1969. My first 14

15 wife could not find a job in Yugoslavia. She graduated from the school

16 of psychology. I already had a work permit in Germany, because I had 17 worked in Stockholm at the Akla company from 1965, and then I went on 18 working in Titisee in Hochschwarzwald every year for three months as waiter, and that's how I funded my studies in Croatia and my life. 19 20 My first wife found a good job in Germany as a psychologist in an 21 institution for "behinderte kinder" or children for development 22 disorders, and my choices were to start working in Germany as a film 23 director. I had had some contacts with Bavaria Film or alternatively to go back to Yugoslavia. And then the year 1971 came. A lot of my friends 24 ended up in gaol at that time because they had expressed their wish for 25

Page 41371

democratisation which has now -- which has gone down in history as the 1 Croatian nationalist movement, which is a notorious lie. People just 2 3 wanted democracy and an independent state of Croatia, and I was among 4 those people. 5 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I'm still discussing your first wife who was a psychologist who worked in a school that specialised in 6 7 disabled children. 8 What year did you divorce in? Your Honour, first of all, we were separated. I said I didn't 9 Α. 10 want to live in Germany, and then we got divorced a bit later. She found 11 it convenient for tax reasons to be married, and I also found it 12 convenient because it gave me an opportunity to extend my work permit and 13 residence permit in Germany. However, we were divorced in 1972 or 1973.

14	We had agreed that we would no longer live together, and that's when I $% \left(\left({{{\left({{\left({{\left({{\left({{\left({{\left({$
15	met my second wife. Everything is known about her, of course. However,
16	there is a lot of gossip that is accompanying this trial. Therefore, I
17	would like to ask permission to say everything, all the facts about this
18	marriage in private session, because I don't want to expose my wife to
19	more gossip.
20	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Let's move into private
21	session.
22	[Private session]
23	(redacted)
24	(redacted)
25	(redacted)

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			

11 Pages 41372-41375 redacted. Private session.

12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	(redacted)
2	[Open session]
3	THE REGISTRAR: Your Honours, we're back in open session.
4	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] We know now that you studied in
5	Zagreb. I understood you to say that you were opposed to the Communist
6	regime. What was the date when you actually became a political activist?
7	What was the year when this happened, when you felt that alongside your
8	job as a director you were going to go into politics? In what year did
9	this happen?

10	A. Your Honour, let's put it this way: As my views opened and
11	it's very difficult to say when exactly, at what moment I became aware of
12	things as I was developing intellectually. In 1968 I was one of the
13	leaders of the students' rebellion in Zagreb.
14	Everybody says that this spilled over from France, Bendit, and
15	from Ducka [phoen], which is not true.
16	In 1968, I raised a rebellion which split into two things in
17	Croatia. On the one hand we had a leftist rebellion of those who
18	rebelled against Communism, and I was on the right wing of that
19	rebellion, and one can say that in 1968 I became a perceived publicly
20	as somebody who rebelled. And if you want me to give you the year that
21	would be it, although I was an activist even before that. However, I
22	started speaking publicly and openly in 1968, and I was rebelling against
23	many things but the list would be just too long for me to go over it.
24	JUDGE TRECHSEL: I think, Mr. Praljak, ladies and gentlemen, I
25	think there has been a linguistic misunderstanding when the President

asked you about privilege in your family. I have the feeling you
understood this in a sort of foul way, abuse, like all totalitarian
regimes have people they privileged just because of this or that reason.
In fact, I understood Judge Antonetti stating that your family belonged,
let's say, to upper middle class, something like that. Would you agree
to the latter? Or lower upper class, or even -- whatever you want. I'm
not going to squeeze you into any label, but maybe you will tell us

8 yourself.

9 A. Yes, Your Honour, Judge Trechsel. In our language the word 10 "privileged" had only one meaning, and that was that you became a member of the League of Communists, and then, along the party lines, you were 11 proposed regardless of your capabilities, work, brains. That's how I 12 understood the word "privileged." 13 As for the second part of your question, it is true that my 14 15 brother and my sister had it a little bit better than the average person. We were intellectuals -- or rather, not -- not let me say intellectuals 16 17 because I don't like the word. I like to say that we were the educated part of the Croatian society. 18 19 JUDGE TRECHSEL: [Overlapping speakers] [Previous translation 20 continues] ... to clarify. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Thank you, Mr. Praljak, for 21 having detailed this for us. Let me get back to the students' movement. 22 You have just told us -- and this is something I knew nothing about, so 23 24 it's good you put questions because you learn a lot of things. 25 You have just told us that you headed the students' movement.

Page 41378

1	You know that in Europe the students' movement in the years 1968, 1969,
2	started in Germany and spread to France, and gave rise to the same
3	movement in other countries also.
4	From what I understood, you are telling us that the students'

5 movement, according to you, developed first in Croatia. There was a

6 leftist Communist wing and then a more liberal and right-wing branch to 7 it. From what I understand, you were one of the people who headed this 8 movement.

9 A. Yes.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] When you headed the students' movement, how did the authorities react to this? Did they let you get on with it? Was there a laissez-faire policy or were there reactions against this movement?

A. Well, what surprised me was that the authorities were terrified all of a sudden. And at the time I was 73 -- I weighed 73 kilos. I was thin and tall, and when we went for talks in those various central committees you could feel this fear. It was tangible, and I couldn't understand why such a powerful organisation would feel this fear from these young people who were thin and not well dressed, and they kept promising and promising.

21 Well, in other words, afterwards, part of that party structure 22 joined the students who were seeking for a better face to Communism and 23 socialism, the better image. And the other portion of the party 24 structures were opposed to all this because, of course, it all went to 25 topple security and safety, and security is the basis of every Communist

1	system.	And then	Tito d	delivered a	speech in	Belgrade	and took	the side
2	of the s	tudents,	and the	en he said,	"You see,	I'm in fa	avour of y	70u. I'm
3	all for	students	but the	ere are a lo	ot of agen	ts provoca	ateurs in	your

4 midst," and so on and so forth, and so the student movement sort of died 5 out very quickly after that.

6 Now, in Zagreb in the executive committee of the League of 7 Communists I had two or three meetings with a high-ranking Communist of 8 the day. His name was Miko Tripalo. He was one of the two or three 9 Communist leaders of the day, and of course later on, as a Croatian 10 nationalist, in 1971 they did away with him, and he joined the movement 11 in the 1970s. But anyway, I -- or, rather, I tried to initiate -- or I, rather, tried to put on the table the problems that were facing Croatia, 12 what Croatia felt is the problems and how Belgrade took money from all 13 the other parts of Yugoslavia. It would be very complex for me to go 14 15 into all that, for -- the construction of the Belgrade to Bar railway 16 line which was an enormous investment and that railway line is not transporting anything really, and then the construction of the steelworks 17 and -- in Smederevo, and how these joint loans were raised. 18 19 I would spend it on my suit, for example, and then we would all 20 have to give it back. You, Judge Trechsel and Judge Prandler, and then I would take out another loan to buy myself a house, and then all of us 21 22 together would pay back the money, so that was the sort of situation on a 23 State level. Then there was the enormous money and resources given to 24 the JNA. There was just one airport in Bihac, for example, up in the

25 hills, it cost \$4 billion at the time. And then all the underground

2 and billions. And then that famous Konjic shelter where the ammunition 3 was produced. That cost \$3 or \$4 billion. So all this money going out. And then at least -- Tito had at least 20 residences, from the Brioni 4 5 islands and across the country, he had large villas in all parts of the 6 country that were always ready and waiting for him to spend a night 7 there. In every town and city, even in Mostar, if you notice the Neretva 8 Hotel, the hotel that was burnt down, the house to the left was a villa 9 built exclusively for Tito, and it was empty all the time. Some party official might have spent the night there if he was allowed to do so, but 10 anyway, these were all the topics and problems that existed and which 11 12 followed on over the decades. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Did the authorities sort of 13 catalogue you as an anti-Communist? 14 A. Well, in part, yes, although the authorities thought that the 15 16 students' movement was still following along the lines of the ideals, but 17 in 1971 I was clearly designated as somebody being a Croatian nationalist, to make things clear. I belonged to the group that was 18 19 called Croatian nationalists, regardless of what you heard in the closed 20 session of how a classical nationalist in Croatia lives. But anyway, 21 that would be the phrase. 22 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] [Previous translation 23 continues] ... words, define what you just said. What is a Croatian 24 nationalist? 25 A. Well, Your Honour, I can define how -- what the Communists

1	thought and considered. If you were to sing a little song from the
2	19th century, for example, from Croatian history, for example, then you
3	would go to prison for three months. If you just happened by chance, for
4	example, to bring in Djilas's book from Germany, then you would go to
5	prison for a year. Any resistance and opposition to the system was
6	either called Croatian nationalism or Serbian nationalism, Muslim
7	nationalism, or some other form of nationalism, Albanian or whatever.
8	Any opposition, any questioning of the system, any public activity about
9	the conduct and rule of that system, exercising control over the
10	citizens. From the hundreds of thousands of dossiers that existed for
11	every individual, the word applied there would be "nationalist" or
12	"extreme nationalist." So that is the definition of a nationalist.
13	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So if I understand you well,
14	the fact of being an opponent to the political system which was
15	prevailing made people say that you were a Croatian nationalist. If you
16	had been Serbian, they would have said you were a Serbian nationalist.
17	Very well. I understand what you've just said.
18	A. Correct. Correct.
19	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So after your studies you
20	become a you you are going to the have some stages. You're
21	going to stage some plays, and I would like to know if this was
22	considered favourably by the authorities of the Republic or the federal
23	Republic of the ex-Yugoslavia, or did you appear to them as dangerous
24	people? What was the point of view of the authorities about the fact

1 theatricals?

2	A. Well, Your Honour, it wasn't the problem of foreign authors.
3	Grumberg [phoen], Breth [phoen], Shakespeare, none of that
4	Shakespeare, none of that interested them. You could do that freely.
5	But what they were interested in, in seeing that some domestic author
6	would present a problem picture of the Yugoslav society. That's what
7	they were interested in.
8	And secondly, you would get a lot of jobs if you were a member of
9	the party, especially jobs to do with television or to make a film, to be
10	given money and the resources to produce a film. For example, I had to
11	bring in ten scenarios for a documentary or short film, whereas I
12	directed only one out of those ten.
13	So whether I was useful or not in the technical sense well, my
14	usefulness was 5 to 10 per cent. I would write ten dramatisations and
15	was allowed to go ahead with just one project. That was the way in which
16	they exerted pressure upon you.
17	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] How can you explain that,
18	finally, you never were sent to prison for revisionism or deviationism in
19	comparison with the prevailing ideology? What do you think helped you to
20	get through?
21	A. Most of the people were imprisoned in 1971 or, rather, 1972,

22 because that's when the student incidents took part in the -- took place,

23 in the summer of 1971.

24		Now,	as luck	would	have	it,	or no	ot, I	had t	o go	o to work	in	
25	Germany	that	summer.	So T	wasn'	't in	the	verv	focus	of	attention	and	in

Page 41383

1 the centre of the demonstrations and negotiations. By the time I 2 returned, things had moved on. 3 And afterwards, I did not wish to be stupid enough to get myself 4 imprisoned just for throwing some pamphlets somewhere. That had no 5 sense. And we knew, Judge Antonetti -- or, rather, I knew in my group of 6 friends that the system could not survive and that it would be toppled. 7 That is to say, it wouldn't be destroyed because of the famous sentences about the human desire for freedom. Many people don't want freedom. 8 9 It's just the very few individuals who cannot live without freedom. So 10 the system toppled because it was ineffective economically speaking. The Soviet Union and all that fell through and was toppled because of 11 12 economic reasons, whereas some people lived too well. So that led to the 13 breakdown. And the people at the head of this breakdown were people who 14 desired freedom, but let me say when in a Communist system some people provided money from outside so that they could live well, live equally --15 16 live as well as people lived in the West where you had to work for your 17 living, that system would never have changed, would never have toppled. 18 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] While listening to you I get the impression that during all this period when you were in Zagreb, the 19 20 Bosnia-Herzegovina republic, Mostar, and its whereabouts, was a bit far

from your own concerns. What could you tell us about this?
A. Both my parents lived in Mostar, and I had a lot of friends
there, too, from the so-called underdeveloped areas, because it's a karst
landscape. Lots of stone. No factories were being -- were built there.
So it was a developing area -- or underdeveloped area, and people knew

1	what the situation was like. People knew what the situation was like
2	very well. And we knew each other very well. We needed each other's
3	help and assistance, and we were linked to that karst over there, the
4	karst landscape, so that I was relatively well acquainted with the
5	situation in Herzegovina.
6	Now, as far as the situation in Yugoslavia is concerned, I knew
7	everything. All the papers wrote about it. All the books discussed
8	this, and it was a scientific, if you will, research into the system. So
9	I think that I saw where all that was leading, and I don't think I made a
10	mistake.
11	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So you went back to see your
12	parents, I suppose, during vacation. Did you see them regularly during
13	vacation period?
14	A. Yes.
15	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] When you went to see your
16	parents, at the borders, were there was there any control or didn't
17	you quite know where you were? Were you passing from an area to another
18	without any control? As one moment [indiscernible] the Republic of

19	Croatia and a hundred yards further in the Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina
20	without any customs officer or policeman? Was it like that?
21	A. Correct, Your Honour. Just as it does in France. There were no
22	internal controls in the former Yugoslavia. It was a uniform,
23	centralised country, state.
24	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Right. So let's get into
25	details now. Sometimes when one passes a border, one gets the impression

1	of changing country, of getting into another country. For instance,
2	while I will not quote any specific case but when you pass a border, you
3	say, "Ah, we're in other in another country." For instance, Canada
4	and the United States. When you're in the United States, you feel that
5	you're in the United States, and then you when you get into Canada,
6	say, "Ah, I'm in Canada."
7	Now, when you passed from Croatia to Bosnia-Herzegovina, did you
8	have a feeling of such a difference, for instance, or not?
9	A. Well, that difference, Your Honour, did partially exist in
10	Yugoslavia. Well, in Belgrade I had some people well, I met Karadzic,
11	for example, as a poet in 1968 and 1969, while he was a poet in Zagreb,
12	and at festivals in Belgrade. Whether they were theatre festivals, film
13	festivals, or whatever. I knew lots of people. And the late
14	Shakespearologist from Sarajevo, and the various journalists and so on.
15	Now, I went to Belgrade knowing that it was in a way another
16	state. I went to see my friends and acquaintances, but well, in

17 Western Herzegovina, I would go there as if it were my own country, 18 because there the border was imposed by some peace agreement of the 19 Berlin Congress or whatever as the big powers saw fit to impose. For 20 example, that famous place Imotski, which is in Croatia, the borders 21 around that were drawn in the following way: An old gun, cannon, was 22 placed in Imotski, and it was fired from, and then a circle around that 23 was drawn and they said, "This is the Croatian border now, because now on 24 the opposite side where it was the Turkish empire, you can no longer shoot at the town, target the town." So that's how borders were traced 25

Page 41386

1 in those areas.

And when I crossed the border at Imotski, I did not feel any difference, because on both sides the same people, same nation, lived. The same culturological civilisation were there. There is no nationalism or chauvinism there, of course. It's just this feeling of communality, culturally speaking and so.

Now, when you went to Herzegovina, because of the karst [Realtime transcript read in error "cast"], I felt far happier than going somewhere where there was plains, where it was flatland. And when I went to the coast I would like it because my mother was from there, from Dalmatia, and I would feel like a plant breathing fresh air.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] [Previous translation continues] ... home, you were at home and there wasn't any difference, no fundamental difference, but now I think that my colleague wishes to 15 ask --

16 JUDGE TRECHSEL: I would like to make an observation regarding 17 the transcript. I'm referring now to page 32, line 21. For the second 18 time here at least, we find the word "cast," c-a-s-t, and I wonder whether what is meant is not "karst," k-a-r-s-t, which describes the 19 specific geological function. Am I correct, Mr. Praljak? 20 21 THE INTERPRETER: The interpreters note that the word is karst, 22 k-a-r-s-t. THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Correct, Judge Trechsel. 23 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Thank you, Mr. Praljak, for 24

25 specifying this. Now we will go more and more into the merits. You told

Page 41387

1 us a few days ago that you had joined the movement, people who were 2 dealing with cultural matters, who gathered in order to have a political action. Could you tell us at which moment you had finally gone into 3 4 politics in a political action, because up to now one understands your 5 motives, but political commitment is something. One has to go to 6 meetings. There are political projects, plans. So at what moment did 7 you actually adhere to a political project, and which project? 8 Just one sentence, if I may, before I answer that question. I Α. 9 agree. Let's call it a political project. Let's refer to it that way. 10 But what I'm telling you is that it's something that was a moral project and create statehood -- of statehood creation. It was never my ambition 11 12 to delve in politics, either before or afterwards, and in that respect,

my involvement was not in the sense of joining a party and becoming something in politics within the party. I had no ambitions like that. However, it was clear to me, and I've already said this before, I realised in 1975, at least 15 years ago, I kept explaining to everybody around me that, unfortunately, Yugoslavia was going to disintegrate in a war, that there would be war, and I even calculated the number of dead in that war.

Now to answer your question, here's my answer: In February 1989, we gathered together publicly, we were in a society of Croatian writers, on Ban Jelacic square, which is the central square in Zagreb. There were about 180 of us present, all rallied together. Franjo Tudjman was there, so from his level downwards, and we signed our names to a document and we stated for the first time, The time has come, we said, when we need to

Page 41388

start organising ourselves and to realise those ideas and ideals which we had always advocated in smaller groups, and I think that was the 14th of February, 1989.

Well, there might have been a smaller group, because -- there was -- the group was actually smaller because there were many informers there and police, plain clothes, infiltrating us. But it was called HDZ, the Croatian Democratic Community as a party in the process of being founded, because political parties were banned at that time. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] You were roughly 170? This

took place in the cultural centre, the writers of Zagreb, and there was

10

11 Mr. Tudjman. He was then in which capacity, as an historian? What was his title which meant he would come there with other intellectuals. 12 13 A. He was the main organiser of that rally or meeting. He wrote the basic declaration and set out all the viewpoints and positions which we 14 wanted to act upon politically. If truth be told, the declaration was 15 not completely finished yet, and he didn't want to go public with it yet 16 because he was afraid that the police would round us all up and put us 17 18 into Black Marias and take us off to prison. Not because we were afraid of going to prison but because we didn't want to take any steps that 19 20 weren't well thought out and thereby lose the opportunity of creating the Croatian state after 800 or 900 years. 21 22 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I was going to ask you a few

questions about Mr. Tudjman, but I will have the opportunity to do so when we will talk about the question of the participations to the joint criminal enterprise.

Page 41389

1	On the 14th of February, 1989, Mr. Tudjman, did you already know
2	him? When did you actually meet him for the first time?
3	A. A little before that. I knew his son, actually, but I met
4	Dr. Tudjman personally a little while before that at another meeting of
5	university professors, at a club. We had a meeting and that's when I
6	first met him. Personally met him, I mean, but I knew about him. I knew
7	everything about him before that.

8

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] In 1989, Mr. Tudjman was

9 occupying what post? Was he university professor? What was he exactly? 10 A. No. Mr. Tudjman had no post. He was a pensioner. He was retired. He had been a pensioner for quite some time. He was in prison 11 twice, so everything had to be done -- well, he had to tread carefully. 12 13 His younger son was a -- rather, older son was Miro. His older son Miro was a university professor. 14 15 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] This statement or declaration 16 which I think we don't have, we've never seen it, what was the political platform it contained, in a few words? 17 A. A maximumly broad and democratic one in all respects. Although I 18 prepared a declaration of the Croatian Community, and I took part in 19 20 shaping it personally, and the programme declaration of the Croatian 21 democratic party, I was the main secretary there, and I also prepared some proclamations that we wrote, urbi et orbi, and since I didn't have 22 23 enough time for all this, I ask Ms. -- Ms. Alaburic will be asking me 24 about that so you're going to gain an insight -- well --25 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So if I understand you, the

Page 41390

1 central theme of this statement was about democracy. That's it? A. Correct, Your Honour. 2 3 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] And democracy as opposed to the regime in which at the time in the year, '90s, you were living; is that 4 5 so? 6

A. Correct. Democracy, human rights, a market economy, Yugoslavia's

7 decentralisation. No mention was still made of the establishment of 8 states, but democratisation -- or, rather, the decentralisation of 9 Yugoslavia, greater economic independence and autonomy for the republics, 10 and so on and so forth.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So, there were four main 11 themes, democracy, human rights, decentralisation, and market economy to 12 13 have a link with this platform. Do you have a newspaper, leaflets or --14 because 170 people who gather, it's very well, but from the point of view of the media, what is the relay? Did you have relays in the media? 15 A. No. No newspaper was allowed to publish that, and we were not 16 allowed to publish a newspaper. The number was even lower, because there 17 were a number of spies amongst us. 18

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Right. So if you withdraw the number of spies, you were not very many. But in this small group of leaders there was Mr. Tudjman. Were you yourself one of the important people or did you have a secondary role?

A. I was not among the leaders, but I was not among the -- the last.
I was in the first third and later on I was even higher up.

```
25 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] In the higher third.
```

1	We shall now break, and we will continue just after the break.
2	Recess taken at 3.44 p.m.
3	On resuming at 4.07 p.m.
4	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. Let's resume.

5 I would now like to address the following -- I'm trying to move 6 on quickly, but it is difficult sometimes. Once you prepared this 7 platform but you were unable to communicate it because you didn't have 8 the right channels to do so, according to you, at what time did your movement emerge as something operational? At what particular moment did 9 10 this happen? 11 A. We obviously met in different places. It could not be kept a 12 secret. The authorities knew that we were meeting. However, already at the time the repression subsided, and in operative terms, our meetings 13 became public. At the moment when the League of Communists of Croatia 14 issued a decision for parties to be allowed to be registered, I showed 15 you a film depicting me delivering a short speech and thanking on behalf 16 17 of all the Croatian parties, and before that, one party was allowed to register within the Socialist Alliance of Yugoslavia. 18 In Yugoslavia, part of -- from the League of Communists there was 19 something that was known as the Social Alliance. It was an organisation 20 21 that was broader than the League of Communists, and it comprised in a 22 certain way everybody. Of course it was under the control of the League 23 of Communists. 24 The Croatian Social and Liberal Party managed to be the first one

Page 41392

Alliance of Croatia, but that was not yet a proper party. It had to
 operate within the framework of the principles of the Socialist Alliance.

to obtain the right to be organised as an element of the Socialist

25

3 And then if you will remember when there was a discord within the League 4 of Communists of Yugoslavia, the Congress when Milosevic demanded a renewed unitarisation of Yugoslavia, the first ones to leave the Congress 5 were the Slovenian Communists and then the Croatian Communists led by 6 7 Racan also left, and that's how the League of Communists of Yugoslavia 8 did not manage to complete that Congress and that's how it disintegrated. 9 And after that, parties were allowed to get organised in Croatia, and 10 after that date, people started registering as members of various parties. Before that it was all illegal. The number of people in the 11 parties were very low. They were mostly friends and acquaintances who 12 13 knew each other. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] The HDZ must have registered, 14 but from what I understood, you were not part of the HDZ. For what 15 reason? 16 17 A. I was among the seventeen individuals who prepared the registration 18 of the Croatian Democratic Union within the framework of the Socialist 19 Alliance, and then a ban was issued to ban the work of that organisation. 20 Dr. Tudjman at the time took a group of people to a shed, and 21 that group of people secretly formed the Croatian Democratic Union. And 22 although I was in a certain way the organiser of that gathering that 23 should have been a public gathering within the framework of the Social 24 Alliance, I and another group were not informed that they were headed for 25 what shed for this secret meeting, and that's how this rift happened

1 between --

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Please allow me to stop you, because we are addressing an important issue. It is important that there is no mistake in the translation.

So you organised -- within the Socialist Alliance you organised this meeting, and then if I understand properly, Mr. Tudjman brings along a small group of individuals, which you were not part of, in order to set up in secret the HDZ, and you are not part of this group. Why were you sidelined?

That gathering that I was in charge of, and it was supposed to 10 Α. take place in a hotel, was banned by the police. Well, there was no 11 12 special intent behind all that. In all that commotion, one group just 13 was taken aside. I don't know whether there was any intent behind that. In any case, they went to that shed. We -- we went to another place. 14 15 Then he asked us to join us. However, there was a major rivalry between Dr. Franjo Tudjman, on the one side, and Dr. Marko Veselica on the other 16 17 side.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Could you spell out the name of the doctor? I didn't hear the name properly. You said there was a rivalry between Tudjman and the name of this person. Could you give us his name again, please.

22 A. Dr. Marko Veselica.

23 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Marko Veselica. What was the 24 reason?

25 A. Dr. Franjo Tudjman felt and wished that he, that he would... that

1 he was in a certain way called upon to lead the changes in the Croatian 2 people and in Croatia, and some other people felt the same. Among them 3 was Marko Veselica who was on the other side because Marko Veselica had spent 11 years in prison, although he himself was a member of the League 4 5 of Communists before that. So he simply felt that he was the one who 6 should be leader or at the forefront of that movement to institute 7 democracy in Croatia. There was also Dr. Savka Dabcevic-Kucar who was 8 removed in 1972, and she was the leader of the League of Communists in 9 Croatia. You know, there were some personal ambitions there and a lot 10 more. 11 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Were these personal rivalries 12 and not rivalries due to the fact that the political platforms were 13 different? These -- these were ego trips? Correct. Your Honours, the programmes were absolutely the same, 14 Α. 15 the programme of the Social Liberal Party of the HDZ. They were like two 16 peas in a pod. 17 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I apologise for interrupting 18 you. I would like you to provide us with short answers. This means that 19 I can ask a greater number of questions to get as close as possible to 20 the truth which I hope to do. We live in hope. 21 You yourself, in what direction were your leanings? What was your personal choice or didn't you have one? 22

23 A. I remained in the party that was led by Dr. Marko Veselica's

24	brother, a	professor of	economics,	Vlado, and	d Marko wa	s also	professor c	f
25	economics.	And why was	that? Bec	ause Marko	Veselica	was sti	ll not	

1	allowed to appear publicly. He was released from prison, but he still
2	was not allowed to appear publicly. He was not allowed to speak or
3	publish, and that's why his brother and the rest of us did. It was more
4	on the friendly line. I had more friends on that side, and I stayed with
5	them, although there were no political differences there at all. There
6	were no significant political differences at all.
7	If you will allow me, I can tell you what was the only perhaps
8	the only major political difference.
9	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So what was the sole
10	significant political difference?
11	A. The only significant political difference was the attitude
12	towards the document that were created during the Partisan movements.
13	And I'm talking about two documents, one that was originated from AVNOJ,
14	A-V-N-O-J, which was the main political body or meeting in Jajce that
15	defined the future relationships in Yugoslavia, and after that the ZAVNOH
16	Assembly which was at the Assembly of Croats in Topusko in Croatia, which
17	defined the interests of the Croatian people in the Socialist Federative
18	Republic of Yugoslavia.
19	There lay the main difference in the way the documents would be

20 treated in political sense. Franjo Tudjman and some others were in 21 favour of those documents to become part of the declaration, and the

22	party that I belonged to consisted of a number of people who suffered
23	under that old regime, and they wanted or they were relatively more
24	intolerant towards anything that came from the Communist movement.
25	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] It is easy to understand these various

1	movements and the fact that some of these movements were on the side.
2	Now I'd like to address the indictment. At the time, did people
3	start discussing the Croatian issue already? What I mean is, in
4	territorial terms, the idea of a Greater Croatia, was it present in
5	people's minds or not, whether it be Tudjman or Marko Veselica, his
6	brother Vlado, and you yourself and other people? Was not the subject of
7	your movement? You were interested in democracy, fundamental human
8	rights and a free market economy. At the time already, the was the
9	territorial issue of a Greater Croatia on the agenda or not? In other
10	words, with your comrades, with Tudjman, had you addressed these topics,
11	or, like this happens oftentimes in on the political stage, was this
12	set against the backdrop of the economy?
13	A. No reference ever about any kind of a Greater Croatia. There was
14	not even a reference to Croatia outside of Yugoslavia. We were talking
15	about the reorganisation of Yugoslavia. There was not even a slightest
16	indication as to what would happen later. On the contrary. Everybody,
17	save for the few of us, were convinced that everything would be evolved

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, you yourself

19

said that you discussed the issue of the reorganisation of the former
Yugoslavia, so I'm understanding this literally. According to what you
have said, could this mean a Greater Serbia, a Greater Croatia, a Greater
Slovenia, and so on? Were these notions addressed or not, these notions?
A. No, he was not.

25 Q. And why?

Page 41397

The feeling, the prevalent feeling was -- I don't know how to say 1 Α. this. It never occurred to anybody. We just wanted to see Yugoslavia 2 decentralised. We wanted Yugoslavia to become a confederation. Of 3 4 course that we all wanted what the Greater Serbian aspirations were, and the main problem was how to counter the Greater Serbian aspirations. So 5 if you feel a certain weakness and if you don't know how to achieve a 6 7 goal, if you're hungry and if you're just looking for a meal, then it 8 doesn't occur to you to look for more than that. I don't know how better 9 to explain this. 10 It never occurred to anybody to think of an idea of a 11 Greater Croatia, not then, not ever, at least not to the people that I 12 have spoken to, and I've spoken to everybody.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] You said that the main topic was decentralisation. This is what you have said. This topic, i.e., decentralisation, was this opposed to centralisation which you deemed to be excessive in the former Yugoslavia under Tito? As far as you were concerned, was centralisation so great that one needed to put an end to

18	that and that decentralisation should be operated in each republic? Was
19	this one of the your major topics?
20	A. Yes, Your Honour. Decentralisation, the free use of language,
21	the freedom of thought, the freedom of speech, the freedom of
22	organisation, of organising parties, the freedom of putting forth
23	candidacy, the freedom of election, a free market, and we wanted the

25 example, the money or the proceeds of tourism should not be channeled to

money to stay in the state or the republic where it was generated. For

24

Page 41398

1 the Central Bank at the rate of exchange determined by them. The 2 investment should not be funded by everybody but just the republic that 3 is undertaking the investment.

JUDGE TRECHSEL: [Overlapping speakers] [Previous translation continues] ... on line 25 of page 24. It has disappeared now. You have spoken of "a few of us." "All but a few of us thought that things would evolve peacefully." I would be interested in knowing who the others were and what the ideas of the others were, if you remember.

9 A. I remember, Your Honour. I was one of them. In a certain way, 10 as much as this could be known in a political sense, based on my long 11 analysis I was the one who claimed that however much we worked hard, 12 Yugoslavia would not break out without bloodshed. On the basis of all my 13 estimates, I was clear that the Serbs, because of their Greater Serbian 14 ideas, because of the large concentration of people in federal

15 institutions who would lose their positions if Yugoslavia was

16 decentralised, they would not take things peacefully.

17 We wanted to be similar to a Western state like France or 18 Switzerland. We wanted to copy that model, but I and my -- some of my friends, for example, my late friend Djerek, who analysed all that, I 19 drew everybody's attention to the fact that all of our efforts would be 20 21 to no avail, and I knew what was going on in Serbia for decades. I knew 22 the way that they were thinking, and I knew that the Serbs would not 23 agree to seeing Yugoslavia break up in the way the former Czechoslovakia or the former Soviet Union broke up. 24

25 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] This great idea of

Page 41399

decentralisation, well, there was an obstacle to this which was that 1 2 Serbia would not accept this. This is what you're telling us. And why 3 would they not accept it? Well, because if we follow your train of thought, decentralisation would bring about a relative independence of 4 5 each of the republics which could not be amenable to the Serbs. Is that what you're telling us? 6 7 A. Correct. 8 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Therefore, you were confronted 9 with a major problem. How did you intend to confront the problem or 10 solve it? 11 A. At the time there were two things on the agenda. First of all, Serbia had already derogated and made null and void the constitution of 12 13 the Socialist Federative Republic of Yugoslavia when it abolished

14 autonomous provinces Kosovo and Vojvodina by a unilateral act.

15 In the constitution of the Socialist Federative Republic of Yugoslavia, it says that that could only be done with the approval of all 16 17 the components of the former Yugoslavia which means Croatia, Slovenia and 18 so on and so forth. I believe you have the constitution, Your Honour. At the time I advocated the opinion --19 20 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Yes, I have the text of the 21 constitution and I will address some of the articles with you. 22 You will see that it says there that the constitution could be Α. changed following a very strict procedure, and it could not be changed by 23 a unilateral decision of the Serbian leadership. Such a decision had to 24 25 have the approval of everybody, and you have a list of those who had to

Page 41400

1 approve.

It was then clear, or it, rather, became clear, and the memorandum of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts was written, we knew about the changes or plan of the Yugoslav People's Army and their organisation and so on and so forth. I advocated the following opinion: Firstly, that we had to internationalise the problem Yugoslavia and the problem of Croatia in the world as much as we possibly could. That problem had to be internationalised.

9 And second of all, we had to start preparing for a war, because I 10 claimed then, unfortunately, there would be a war. A majority shared my 11 opinion that the problem had to be internationalised, and we did that as 12 much as we could. We talked to the journalists in Germany, to some 13 delegations from France. Various people came to ask questions about all 14 that.

15 And as for the second idea about a war that would happen, a 16 majority of the people simply didn't want to accept that for 17 psychological reasons. They did not base that on any scientific 18 research. They did not want to accept that the Serbs would want such a 19 Yugoslavia that existed in their thoughts for 120 or 150 years. What does that mean? That means that they wanted Serbia to exist wherever 20 there were Serbs, be it even ten of them living there. 21 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. You're telling us 22 23 this, but this was mentioned in your submissions already. 24 You were partial to the internationalisation of the movement

25 because you wanted Croatia to prosper; but on the other hand, you were

Page 41401

1 saying that the war was inevitable. Does this mean that, as far as you were concerned the Serbs, via the JNA, were going to attacked you or 2 3 invade the republics of the former Yugoslavia? Was that the consequence? A. Correct. That was a consequence. They were thinking about that, 4 5 and they were preparing for that. 6 I personally, within the framework of our party activities, I 7 toured many Serbian villages in Banija and so on and so forth. I spoke to the people there, asking them to join parties, saying to them that 8 9 they're living in Croatia, that that was their homeland. But it was very

10	obvious. It was very clear that a block of the Serbian political thought
11	was being created and it was very precisely programmed based on the
12	memorandum of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, on in
13	Milosevic's speeches and rallies, and on the operative development of the
14	Yugoslav People's Army.
15	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] The elections of
16	President Tudjman, the presidential elections of this man, did you take
17	part in this?
18	A. I was a counter-candidate. He was not the president of state at
19	the time because the constitution had not been amended. There was the
20	Presidency of the Socialist Federative Republic of Croatia, and the
21	Presidency elected its president, the first among the equals.
22	In the constituency where Dr. Tudjman was a candidate, I was also
23	a candidate on the part of the coalition of the national agreement that
24	consisted of several parties. Truth be told, this was only because the
25	candidate who was on the list there, a lawyer, said that he would not run

1	against Franjo Tudjman, because he would certainly lose. And then the
2	leading names of the coalition appealed to me to put up my candidacy, and
3	I put it up against my own better judgement and will. However, when I
4	received 11.5 per cent of votes
5	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I']] interrupt you here We

5 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I'll interrupt you here. We 6 are learning a lot of things.

7

You were a candidate in the presidential campaign, and Tudjman

8	was one of your opponents. During the election process you obtained
9	11.50 per cent of the vote.
10	Had you won the election, you could have become president of the
11	Republic of Croatia; is that right? Theoretically.
12	A. [Previous translation continues] theory, yes. The president
13	of the Presidency, rather.
14	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] You could have become president
15	of the Presidency, which clearly indicates that you have some political
16	acumen which cannot be denied.
17	A. No, well, that can't be denied, no.
18	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Nobody can deny this in light
19	of what you have just told us.
20	So you were a candidate in the election to appoint a president to
21	the Presidency, and Tudjman was elected and became the president of the
22	Presidency. You were defeated, but what did you become then?
23	A. I remained the general secretary of the party which was known as
24	the Croatian Democratic Party. It had its deputies in the parliament of
25	the Republic of Croatia, and I was involved in the party work.

1	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] In the party which you belonged
2	to, did the territorial issue, the issue of the Croats in Herzegovina,
3	was this a major subject of concern or not?
4	A. No, Your Honour. Of course we did have a Croatian Democratic
5	Party in Bosnia-Herzegovina. However, never ever did we discuss

6 territories. We discussed about the way to organise our party. We never 7 discussed territories. No territories outside of the Republic of Croatia 8 were ever mentioned, not in a letter, not in a word. 9 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. The Republic of 10 Croatia was recognised by the international community. There is an election, and Tudjman becomes the president of the Croatian republic, 11 12 which is recognised by the international community. So we are going to 13 move on. In this context, President Tudjman, as you told us a few days 14 ago, formed a national unity government. Whilst forming his cabinet, the 15 prime minister, if I remember correctly, is Greguric. Are you going to 16 play a part in this new government? 17 18 A. No. Your Honours, in 1991, in the spring of that year, I left the Croatian Democratic Party for two reasons. 19 20 The first reason was the fact that I was no longer interested in 21 working in a party or in the benefits of working in a party, I'm talking 22 about possible -- possible engagement or being an MP. 23 Second of all, I had certain disagreements with Dr. Marko 24 Veselica. I left the party in 1991, in March. 25 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So you leave the party in

Page 41404

March 1991. What did you do then?
 A. I was shooting some documentaries. One of them was the one for
 which I went to Sandzak, and I shot footage of the large rallies

4 organised by Vuk Draskovic. I also spoke to Rasim Jajic, who is now in 5 Serbia, on the topic of the cooperation with The Hague Tribunal. I also 6 talked to his colleague Sulejman Ugljanin. And after that I worked for a 7 German television. I was hired to help them in Slovenia when the Yugoslav People's Army clashed with the Slovenian Territorial Defence. 8 9 My cameraman and myself actually followed the entire war in Slovenia at 10 the time. 11 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I would like to go into this in greater detail. 12 So you were shooting documentaries and reports. In light of the 13 names you quote, Draskovic may not ring a bell to some but it does ring a 14 15 bell as far as I'm concerned. You focus, in fact, on part of the Serbian political life, then, don't you? Why'd you do this? 16 A. I wanted fully to verify my opinions that I had built up to the 17 effect that the Serbs would attack all the nations standing in their way 18 towards the realisation of their ideas. 19 20 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] In other words, you were in 21 "contact," and I put this in inverted commas, you were in "contact" with 22 the enemy to be. So you met a number of prominent political figures from 23 Serbia. A. Well, from Serbian political life, and when we say "Serbian" 24 meaning people from Serbia, no, I did not. But I had a lot of contact 25

Page 41405

1 with Serbs from Croatia, and in our party, the party where I was the

2 secretary, there were Serbs, and one of the main posts were held by
3 Dr. Djordje Pribicevic, who was a Serb, who was a professor at the
4 faculty of economics, and some others as well. And I also had contact
5 with --

6 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, the problem I 7 have, I don't know what the case is for my colleagues, but my problem is 8 very clear. The Prosecutor, in the indictment, outlines the life in the 9 former Yugoslavia and tells us what the Croatians were doing and gives us a broad picture. This is a problem we have when you come from outside 10 11 like I do, and I'm not so familiar with political life in the former 12 Yugoslavia, so this is something which we get to know better over time. This is when we come to realise that the situation is an extremely 13 14 complex one.

You have just said now, you have just mentioned the Serbs from Croatia. Right. We know that Serbs lived in Croatia, and we know that there was quite a number of them. As part of this decentralisation of this new era that was to emerge, how did you intend -- at your level, how did you intend to settle the situation of the Serbs in Croatia? How was this going to occur? Were things going to develop favourably or not? How did you see things?

A. In all the talks and documents, the Serbs in Croatia were to be given all possible rights, guaranteed according to the highest standards of the United Nations and the civilised world; and in that respect, Your Honours, the Serbs, many of them lived in Istria, for example,

1	around Rijeka, over 40.000 of them remained living there throughout the
2	war as completely equal citizens, or in the city of Zagreb, for example,
3	where there were 70 to 80.000 Serbs. Some of my actor friends and writer
4	friends, they were Serbs, and throughout the war they lived normally as
5	citizens of Croatia without any dilemmas. So here we're talking about
6	organisation of the Serbs in areas which were supposed to be annexed to
7	Greater Serbia. That is to say, the rural areas, Kordun, Glina, Knin,
8	which is where there were just a small number of Serbs, albeit on a large
9	territory, and that's where Serbian propaganda focussed on.
10	They accepted weapons, and if you want me to, I can tell you
11	about this at length.
12	But anyway, the Serb question in Croatia, from the very outset,
13	from the resolutions passed, from the constitution of the Republic of
14	Croatia that was passed, was resolved in the manner in which the
15	civilised world does it at the highest level. So when I say "civilised
16	world," I mean from the United Nations and everybody else, they wrote
17	down how it should be, and that is how it is today.
18	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] [Previous translation
19	continues] telling us, and this is under oath, and when I say "under
20	oath," I mean that because it's very important, and this is why I'm using
21	this expression. You're telling us under oath that the Serbs in Croatia
22	took weapons, took to weapons, and since they took weapons, they took
23	them by themselves or were they teleguided from outside?
24	A. I'm not going to say all Serbs, and that's what I've been saying.

1	rather large and not densely populated, they received weapons from the
2	Yugoslav People's Army. And in some places they took control of the
3	police stations, such as Martic in Knin, and they simply armed themselves
4	and said they were not going to obey the Croatian state or, rather,
5	the Croatian republic any more.
6	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Right. You explain what
7	happened, but I must also tell you that if we had a Serb in front of us,
8	Serbian person, we would ask him and he would say the opposite. He would
9	say that they took to arms because they felt an aggression, they felt
10	attacked by Croatians. Where is the truth?
11	A. Your Honour, when you say jeopardised or under threat, then the
12	next question should be where, when, and by what law? Where, when, and
13	under what law? Nowhere, never, and under no law. Where were the Serbs
14	under threat, the 40.000 Serbs living in Istria and so on? Where were
15	the 80.000 Serbs in the city of Zagreb being put under threat, in
16	Varazdin? Let them give me a shred of evidence. Among my colleagues,
17	actors, for example, one of them one of whom led a group, which was
18	called the Cultural Battalion, walking around and that was led by a Serb.
19	They were members of the party, they were in the government, and they
20	were members of parliament.
21	And Mr. Tudjman, and I know that myself because I took part in

22 all this, he would call them up and talk to the leaders. He called -- he

23	talked to Milan Babic. He told him to come to Zagreb to hear what he had
24	to say. And in the Glina and Knin districts, full autonomy was proposed
25	which means the right to their language. Well, all this is written down

1	in the constitution of the Republic of Croatia, Judge Antonetti.
2	There were always stories put out by the Serbs. They always said
3	they felt threatened, they fell under threat, without providing any
4	evidence. Of course they felt threatened because they were going to lose
5	the privileges that they enjoyed for 40 years. That is true, privilege
6	to the privilege to take my money, that I wasn't allowed to speak in
7	my own language and that somebody could throw me in prison whenever they
8	felt like it and to have their own army. That, yes, so if that's being
9	under threat, and jeopardy
10	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] You therefore go to Slovenia in
11	the framework of the reporting you were doing you were making. What
12	did you see with your own eyes in Slovenia concerning the Serbs? How
13	will things develop according to you?
14	A. The Yugoslav People's Army quite simply wanted to come out to the
15	borders, because Slovenia had previously said that they were independent
16	and that they would take up their positions along the borders which meant
17	to deny Yugoslavia. And then the Serbs in Yugoslavia felt in jeopardy,
18	and then they acted there with arms, with weapons, but it was clear to
19	everyone that in actual fact, although there were some people dead,
20	people dead on both sides, there was shooting and planes were flying, but

21	anyway, it was all done in such a way as to allow Slovenia to secede
22	from allow Slovenia to secede from Yugoslavia. And once the Slovenes
23	had a bit of a fight with them, the war was stopped and the
24	Yugoslav People's Army withdrew from Slovenia and transferred its troops
25	and tanks and weapons to the territory of Croatia and in part to

1	Bosnia-Herzegovina. And I showed you the film, unfortunately another
2	version, when the Croats stood in front of tanks in Zagreb, and I
3	happened to be there myself, and we prevented them from going from the
4	barracks towards Slovenia. I was against that.
5	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, you say that
6	the war stopped. The war was stopped by the international community? My
7	question may be a bit leading, but
8	A. The international community did not stop the war. Unfortunately,
9	the international community stopped nothing, and the war did not stop of
10	its own accord. It stopped by a previous decision on the part of
11	Serbia or, rather, the Serb leadership saying that they weren't
12	interested in Slovenia. And their territorial claims did not go towards
13	Slovenia but only towards Croatia and Bosnia-Herzegovina if we look to
14	the west.
15	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Right. So thanks to you we are
16	making progress. We are making some headway. Now we know that after the
17	conflict with Slovenia the JNA redeployed its troops in Croatia and in

18 Bosnia-Herzegovina.

When you saw this, what was your reaction, and what were you

20 going to do at your level?

19

A. I did what I intended to do. When in 1991 the aggression against the Republic of Croatia opened -- started openly by setting up barricades along the roads and taking control of the police stations and the complete arming of the Serb population in the areas to which I'm referring, I wanted to join the National Guards Corps, but they rejected

Page 41410

1 me because I was too old. There were people who were far younger than 2 me, some younger guys. And then on the 3rd of September, 1991, I 3 happened to meet a friend who was going to Sunja, leading some reserve police force, and I said, "Can I go with you?" And he said, "Yes, you 4 5 can." And that's how I came to go and fight as a volunteer, fully 6 convinced that the situation would resolve itself only by us not losing 7 the war, only if we didn't lose the war. And I was fully conscious of 8 the fact, and we've discussed this with many people from the international community, that the international community and all the 9 10 main protagonists wanted to retain Yugoslavia and allowed the Serbs to do 11 everything they wanted from toppling the constitution and other things. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Personally, I'm looking at 12 13 paragraph 15 of the indictment. I will read to you the beginning: 14 "Between the 18 November 1991 and April 1994, several people started a joint criminal enterprise." 15

We'll come back to this.

16

17 If I understand rightly all this, in September 1991 you left of 18 your own accord in the region of Sunja to fight. This is a choice you 19 made personally. It's not Mr. Tudjman who told you to go there and even 20 less [indiscernible] or Susak. It's, say, yourself who went as a 21 voluntary without taking part, maybe, in any form of enterprise. It was 22 an individual personal act which was based on the fact that you were a 23 voluntary. That's what you're telling us.

A. Yes. I belonged to the undertaking of Croatian volunteers and
 Serbs and Muslims, because in Sunja there were considerable numbers of

Page 41411

1 all three. Mostly Croats, that's true. Anyway, I belonged to the movement of volunteers defending their country. Neither Tudjman, Susak 2 wasn't the minister, Bobetko hadn't become a part of it yet. So I, 3 through my own free will went to fight as a combatant, as a fighter. I 4 5 had no rank. I just had my own weapons that I had procured earlier on. 6 I had a Schmeisser dating back to World War II which was a present from a 7 friend from Germany. That was before, because I talked to him several 8 times and we socialised and discussed what was going to happen, and he said, "Here's a weapon for you." And I managed to bring that weapon in 9 in the car --10 11 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] [Overlapping speakers] [Previous translation continues] ... the fight at Sunja, you are going to 12 stay how much time? 13

14 A. [Overlapping speakers] [Previous translation continues] ... in.

	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Excuse me, I was too fast. I
repeat.	You were going to fight at Sunja? For how long are you going to
stay in	Sunja?
Α.	Six months and perhaps seven or eight days.
	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Right. So you will stay there
until F	ebruary February or March 1992.
Α.	That's right. I stayed until the 10th, I think it was.
	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] All right.
Α.	10th of March, 1992.
	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Right. Therefore, under oath
	stay in A. until F A.

25 you're telling me that you were in Sunja until March 1992 without having

Page 41412

1	met any of those who are mentioned in the indictment as being members of
2	a joint criminal enterprise. That is to say, Tudjman, Bobetko, Susak,
3	all those you did not meet. At Sunja, what you actually are doing? You
4	are a private, a commander? What are you doing in Sunja actually?
5	A. You've expanded my answer somewhat, Judge Antonetti. When I
6	left, I just told my wife I said, "Darling, I'm off. I won't be
7	subjugated." And in the first I was a soldier for the first five or
8	six days, and then because of my involvement and because they assessed
9	probably my capabilities, they appointed me commander. So up until the
10	10th of March, 1992, I was the commander of the defence of Sunja. And
11	this extended towards Jasenovac later on.

12

May I be allowed to state that I met Dr. Franjo Tudjman --

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] -- commanding? You were designated as commander by whom? Who appointed you?

A. I was appointed to that post by the commander of the entire area
from Sisak --

JUDGE PRANDLER: You are again and again overlapping each other.
Thank you.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Yes. Judge Prandler is right. Sorry. I interrupted you. You said you met Franjo Tudjman and then I started speaking. I'll tell you why, actually, because I didn't want to start this question of Franjo Tudjman. What I wanted to know was who appointed you as a commander, and this is the answer I want. You were elected as a commander?

25 A. I was appointed commander by Bozo Budimir, the commander of the

Page 41413

1 operation zone to which Sunja belonged.

2 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Bozo Budimir.

3 A. That's right.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Under whose authority was he? A. At that time his superior officer was General Tus, the Chief of the Main Staff of the Croatian army.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So General Tus, you knew him?
A. Yes. I met General Tus later on. Not then but later.
JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Now, let's go back when I

10 interrupted you. When you were in Sunja, did you meet Tudjman, yes or

11 no?

12 A. Yes.

13 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] When?

A little before Christmas, the Christmas of 1991. Gojko Susak 14 Α. called me up and said -- and asked me whether I could come to Zagreb. I 15 went to Zagreb, and then he said to me -- well, there was a problem of 16 17 weapons, and he happened to procure some 30 rifles for me somewhere. And 18 I said, "All right, Gojko. I don't suppose you just called me up to take over 30 rifles. What else is there?" And he said, "Well, you should --19 you ought to go and see the president. You ought to go and have lunch 20 with the president." I said, "Well, the president is my commander. He 21 22 can only order me. He can order me to do what he wants and I will obey." 23 Now, since our relations were somewhat different because of our party activity, I went to have lunch with Dr. Tudjman, and we discussed 24 the situation, and he said that we had to take on ranks because people 25

Page 41414

were coming in from outside. I wasn't very favourable to that idea of 1 2 getting a rank, I and colleague Medimorac, but his arguments prevailed. And he said, "All right. It doesn't mean anything to you, but once 3 foreign officers turn up," and there was preparation for the cessation of 4 5 hostilities and recognition of Croatia, "These foreigners aren't going to 6 talk to anybody without a rank." So I was given the rank of colonel, and 7 that was my first rank. I mildly objected because I thought the war 8 would end and then I wouldn't be able to be a film director anymore,

9 because it wouldn't be Praljak directing, it would be Colonel Praljak or 10 whatever. So those were the reasons.

11 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So this dinner you had with Mr. Tudjman before Christmas 1991. According to you was this to give you 12 13 a rank, or was Mr. Tudjman trying to use you as a trump for the future since you had been a candidate against him? Was it a manoeuvre to try 14 15 and recuperate you, to lure you, telling you you will be colonel or 16 general in order to flatter you, because he certainly had other fish to fry than to look after you while you were in Sunja. You were not even 17 number -- you were known, of course, but he certainly had other generals 18 at hand, or other colonels. 19

Now, with hindsight, how did you -- how do you remember this
dinner? Was it a way of trying to make you join his political orbit?
A. Judge Antonetti, Your Honour, I did belong to the political
circle around Franjo Tudjman. So I shared all his basic ideas in that
respect. There were some minor differences, perhaps, because he asked
me, "What do you now think about some of your political moves and so on,"

Page 41415

and I said, "You were quite right and I was wrong," on some particular matter. And Dr. Franjo Tudjman and all the people who worked with me knew that you couldn't persuade me to do anything I didn't want to do, and in that respect, well, that was it.

5 And thirdly, Dr. Franjo Tudjman respected me as far as I know as 6 an individual and also because of my success on the battle-field, because

7 Sunja was a very difficult theatre of war, and in the organisational 8 sense, and in every other sense it was a very difficult battlefront. The 9 most difficult perhaps on all of Croatia. And this was on television. 10 There was broadcasting about it. Papers wrote about it. And in that respect I gained quite considerable authority. And it was an open 11 12 discussion, and I was a Croatian soldier, a Croatian volunteer. 13 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] You just said that all these 14 questions were touched upon. Did he touch upon with you the question of the Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina and the situation of Croats in 15 Herzegovina? Did he touch upon this subject or not? 16 17 Α. I spoke more about Bosnia-Herzegovina myself than Dr. Tudjman did, because I claimed, and I said this to my commanders in Sunja, you 18 19 have the statement, I said that Croatia -- that what happened in Croatia, 20 that is to say, the BH Army attack and what we called -- well, the 21 Yugoslav People's Army against Croatia and what we referred to as the 22 Chetniks or rebel Serbs, that that would be very weak compared to what 23 was going to happen in Bosnia-Herzegovina, not a patch on what was going 24 to happen in Bosnia-Herzegovina. And I say with full scientific 25 certainty that I knew what was going to happen in Bosnia-Herzegovina. I

Page 41416

1 knew exactly what was going to happen as soon as the Serbs saw that they
2 had not achieved their plans in Croatia. And there was a thesis that I
3 support that if an aggressor does not achieve his goals -4 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I have to go into the heart of

5	matters, because if we go on like that we can be here for weeks, and
6	Judge Prandler, of course, is looking at the timing. So I would like to
7	go directly to the matter in hand.
8	For my part I'm trying to determine exactly at what date there
9	was the constitution of an enterprise. I'm not saying a criminal
10	enterprise but an enterprise which could have consisted in what
11	Mr. Tudjman and others may have had territorial views on some parts of
12	the territory of the Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina, in particular on
13	Herzegovina, on the Posavina, or the Banovina. So I'm trying to identify
14	in order to have a precise date of the beginning of the project, a
15	precise date.
16	Now, you're telling me that this at this dinner you spoke
16 17	Now, you're telling me that this at this dinner you spoke about Herzegovina, but did Mr. Tudjman tell you, "Slobodan Praljak, we
17	about Herzegovina, but did Mr. Tudjman tell you, "Slobodan Praljak, we
17 18	about Herzegovina, but did Mr. Tudjman tell you, "Slobodan Praljak, we must send troops in Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina. We must well, the
17 18 19	about Herzegovina, but did Mr. Tudjman tell you, "Slobodan Praljak, we must send troops in Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina. We must well, the Croats who are in Mostar, Stolac, and Capljina or other places have to
17 18 19 20	about Herzegovina, but did Mr. Tudjman tell you, "Slobodan Praljak, we must send troops in Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina. We must well, the Croats who are in Mostar, Stolac, and Capljina or other places have to take political control of their own area, their own region. For this I
17 18 19 20 21	about Herzegovina, but did Mr. Tudjman tell you, "Slobodan Praljak, we must send troops in Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina. We must well, the Croats who are in Mostar, Stolac, and Capljina or other places have to take political control of their own area, their own region. For this I need you"? Did he say anything like that to you or not?
17 18 19 20 21 22	about Herzegovina, but did Mr. Tudjman tell you, "Slobodan Praljak, we must send troops in Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina. We must well, the Croats who are in Mostar, Stolac, and Capljina or other places have to take political control of their own area, their own region. For this I need you"? Did he say anything like that to you or not? I repeat that my question is always asked because you are talking
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about Herzegovina, but did Mr. Tudjman tell you, "Slobodan Praljak, we must send troops in Republic of Bosnia-Herzegovina. We must well, the Croats who are in Mostar, Stolac, and Capljina or other places have to take political control of their own area, their own region. For this I need you"? Did he say anything like that to you or not? I repeat that my question is always asked because you are talking under oath, answering under oath.

1 these questions, because this is the ultimate absurd. It was just the
2 other way round.

3 Dr. Franjo Tudjman, in all of his appearances, showed optimism, 4 and he believed much more into the institutions of the international 5 community. He believed that the international community would realise what was going on and that in one way or another they would stop 6 7 something that was as clear as daylight, and that was that the Serbs were armed and that they had a plan, and that was the plan. That was the only 8 9 plan. 10 Your Honour Judge Antonetti, please --JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Therefore, during this dinner 11 he did not talk to you about this. He did not tell you, "I have a plan, 12 and this is what we're going to do." He never told you anything like 13 that, did he? 14 15 A. Not for a moment. I claimed and I said, "President, they will attack Bosnia and Herzegovina. They are fully braced for that. They 16 17 will break us apart, and then they will carve the whole area down to Split. They have deployed forces." We knew everything, for crying out 18 19 loud. All the documents are at your disposal to that effect. And what 20 is going to happen there --21 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] When you told him this, what 22 did he answer? "They will not, Praljak. France, America, they understand. They 23 Α. have seen what was going on. We will be recognised. Once we are 24 25 recognised, then this and that and the other," and so on and so forth.

1 On the one hand, he was a very realistic person and he knew things, more 2 or less; and on the other hand, he expressed his expectations. He wanted 3 somebody to say to the Serbs in office, "Enough." Although he realised 4 that they had been allowed to attack Croatia and that they would equally 5 be allowed to attack Bosnia-Herzegovina, because nobody in the world paid 6 anything but lip-service against that. Zimmermann, America, French 7 diplomacy, Mitterrand, everybody was in favour of Yugoslavia. They 8 wanted to resolve the problem not with constituting five or six more states but to give the Serbs a carte blanche for what they wanted to do. 9 And this was not a joint criminal enterprise. It was a joint political 10 enterprise to preserve Yugoslavia by allowing the Serbs to do what they 11 12 were doing at the time. 13 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Right. So if I understand you

properly, in Mr. Tudjman's mind he was hoping -- he had all hopes in the international community, and he thought that when the Republic of Croatia would be recognised the problems would disappear just like magic. That was his point of view.

18 Your own point of view was more foreseeing a catastrophe, war to 19 come because the Serbs were going to attack. That is the way you saw 20 things, may I summarise the situation in this way, at the end of 1991? 21 A. Precisely so. As I say, I claimed that the Serbs would attack and 22 that the recognition of Croatia within its boundaries was just paying lipservice to the whole thing and that it would drag on for years after that. 23 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I would like to understand 24 25 better, and this may be useful for other people. If I summarise what you

1	have said and if I make a mistake, don't hesitate to tell me.
2	So you go back to Sunja, and you're going to stay there until
3	March 1992. What do you do after that?
4	A. You know that a document that was signed in January, and that was
5	some sort of a cease-fire. On the 15th of January, the European
6	Community recognised Croatia, and then at the time we all thought that
7	war was more or less over. There was some sporadic shooting on the front
8	lines, but in one way or another Sunja became calm and I returned to
9	Zagreb. And I accepted the offer to become the assistant minister of
10	Defence Minister Gojko Susak for information and psychological
11	activities, or information and political activities, as you will, and
12	immediately upon that, maybe a day or two after, I became the assistant
13	minister of defence of the Republic of Croatia for IPD and I assumed the
14	duties.
15	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, you are
16	assistant minister of defence in charge of IPD. You take up this
17	position.
18	If I understand correctly, at this point in time you become part
19	of the Croatian military apparatus.
20	A. Correct.
21	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] What prompts you to belong to
22	the apparatus? Is it the alarming situation? Is it the fact that you
23	would like to please your friend Susak? Is it because you would like to

24 experience something new in your life? What is the decisive factor that

25 triggers this commitment?

1	A. The job was still not finished. The job in question was the
2	organisation of the Croatian state. The Croatian state was recognised on
3	paper, but nobody really cared what was happening in the occupied
4	territories, how and when the occupied territories would be liberated,
5	and what was happening with the killings of Croats in the occupied
6	territories, what was happening with the refugees in Croatia. All of
7	that was of no concern or, rather, not of of not much concern to
8	the international community. There were just hundreds of reports,
9	hundreds of meetings involving ministers, ambassadors, but those were all
10	in vain. Those were futile meetings where everybody just spoke their
11	minds, but nobody wanted to really think about how to resolve the
12	problem. And the only way the problem could be solved was by respecting
13	the basic principles of the United Nations.
14	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I must address the structure of
15	the enterprise which in the eyes of the Prosecution is criminal because
16	crimes were committed. So we shall discuss all the people that I
17	mentioned and whom you know one way or another.
18	Let me get to the first person, Franjo Tudjman. You discussed
19	him already at length, but there are also other aspects that need to be
20	highlighted.
21	You're assistant minister of defence in charge of IPD. Did you

22	have the opportunity to meet Mr. Tudjman on several occasions?
23	A. Yes. On several occasions I met with him in the VONS, in the
24	Council for National Defence to which I was appointed.

25 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So you meet him on several

1	occasions as well as member of the National Defence Council. You meet
2	him because he headed the army or because he is the president of the
3	republic or because he's both of these?
4	A. Well, Your Honour Judge Antonetti, the two were so mixed in the
5	war it was really difficult to draw a line between the military commander
6	and the president of state, because all things were rather intertwined.
7	So I wouldn't be able to obviously we met more because of some
8	military issues. However
9	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] From April 1992 onwards, in
10	your position as a military you meet Mr. Tudjman. In the conversations
11	you have with him, well, one of the important issues be addressed, i.e.,
12	the situation of the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina.
13	A. Yes. We discussed that issue. I was the one who imposed them on
14	Dr. Tudjman rather than the other way round. Especially I did that
15	through Mr. Gojko Susak, because I kept on claiming what I was claiming
16	from the very outset and which eventually be came true. What I
17	claimed was there would be a complete bloodshed in that territory and
18	that all the forces, all the thoughts were already in position, and what
19	ensued was just the implementation of all that. All the negative

20 energies were already at play, and one could do nothing but watch how
21 they will be materialised.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Over the next few days I will have the opportunity to address the documents that relate, on the one hand, to the meetings of the National Defence Council, which you took part in, and on the other hand, the presidential transcripts in which you

Page 41422

1 are mentioned, and other meetings which you attend. I will be able to get back to these documents afterwards. I will not address them now, 2 because at this stage I had not intended addressing these documents. I'm 3 4 trying to qualify the participants in this enterprise. As far as you are concerned, you have told us that this person 5 was an historian. Do you think he had a good understanding of the 6 7 international situation, of the situation of the Republic of Croatia, of 8 the situation of Bosnia-Herzegovina, and of the ambitions of the Serb 9 leaders in the future? Was he an enlightened person, or with hindsight, 10 could you say that he had a few shortcomings? 11 A. President Tudjman was a very well-educated man, a very realistic 12 person. He was completely devoted to democratisation. His idea was for the territory of the Balkans to be organised similarly to Scandinavia. 13 14 He wanted Bosnia and Herzegovina to look like Switzerland. He had a 15 complete overview. He was well informed. He was a reasonable person, a 16 realistic person. His only shortcoming, which arose from his desire, wish, or strive, was that he placed too much hope in the politicians of 17

the West. France, America, and all these politicians and states had different projections, views. There was jealousy among themselves, and they did not engage in our war in the way they could have. And if they had been engaged from the very outset as they could have, if they so wanted to, they could have stop the war entirely.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, what I'm getting at is this presidential system in which President Tudjman was at the head of the army and president of the republic. Was he a very

Page 41423

powerful man in political terms, or like any parliamentary system, was he 1 2 at the mercy of the political majority? You have told us that all the parties had the same vision. Was 3 he dependent on his political allies, or did he embody, like any system, 4 5 was he the personification of absolute power? Your Honour Judge Antonetti, he was not omnipotent [Realtime 6 Α. 7 transcript read in error "not only omnipotent"] in political terms and he did not want to be omnipotent in human terms. There was a parliament 8 9 which comprised other parties as well. There was a constitution that he 10 had to abide by as well as everybody else. There was a government at the time, the government of national unity, and the ministry and that 11 12 government came from all the parties save for the HSP. 13 Franjo Tudjman wanted to rally people, all Croatian forces, the forces of civil society of Croatia. During the times of war you 14

15 shouldn't forget that in the Croatian army there were people from

16 Hungary, Slovakia, Serbia, Muslims. Everybody who wanted and who 17 recognised the constitution of the Republic of Croatia, the constitution, 18 I'm saying, and everybody who understood what this country was to become, he -- Dr. Franjo Tudjman wanted to rally all those people around a very 19 20 broad political platform. In other words, all the things that in normal 21 democracies, the arguments around tax systems and stuff like that, were 22 not important at the time. We first had to lay the foundations of the 23 state so the topics of war, armament, embargo, the nonfunctioning of the institutions, who to choose or appoint as ambassador, those were all new 24 issues on the agenda, and it took time for those issued to be resolved. 25

Page 41424

There were a lot of such problems, the functioning of the police, the 1 2 health system. All the institutions were new. They were weak. They 3 were underdeveloped. And a positive selection that normally takes years 4 had not been implemented, and so on and so forth. 5 JUDGE TRECHSEL: I would like to hear a little clarification. Mr. Praljak, you have said, and that is on line 60 -- page 68, line 23: 6 7 "He was, Mr. Tudjman, not only omnipotent in political terms," and so on. 8 Did you really want to say that he was omnipotent in political terms, 9 because what you explained afterwards rather suggests that you wanted to 10 say something else. 11 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] The other way round. He was not.

12 I said that not only was he not omnipotent in political terms, he did not 13 want to be omnipotent. He talked to everybody on all topics.

14 JUDGE TRECHSEL: I guessed so, but I wanted to clarify. 15 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Praljak, I shall fine tune my question. If we assume that Mr. Tudjman wanted to form a 16 Greater Croatia either by annexing territories or by joining up with 17 18 other territories, I'm not going to go into the detail of this, if this 19 was a personal ambition of his, was he able -- from a political 20 standpoint and given the state of the constitution, was he able to impose 21 his vision on others? 22 A. No. Not in constitutional terms, not in political terms. If we start from that assumption, Franjo Tudjman could not implement that 23 without the consent of the parliament. There should have been a 24 25 66 per cent vote in the parliament. He could not have done that without

Page 41425

1 the consent of the government even if he had the power to do so, which he 2 didn't.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Praljak, before we move on with our question, I would like to ascertain something. Have you read the indictment in your own language? Have you read the Prosecution's pre-trial brief in your own language? Have you read all of the presidential transcripts chaired by Mr. Tudjman? Have you read all the documents which mention your attendance? Is this something which you are familiar with?

10 A. I read the presidential transcripts. I know about the opinion11 and the presidential transcripts first-hand.

12 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] For your information, the Prosecutor's pre-trial brief was not translated into Croatian. The 13 14 Prosecution doesn't have to translate it and it didn't. The general himself could not read it. He could read the indictment. 15 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Your Honour, I did not read the 16 indictment. 17 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Kovacic, this is a question 18 19 for you. You know that the pre-trial brief is an extremely important 20 document. Mr. Kovacic, you didn't feel it was necessary to have this document translated so that your client was familiar from A to Z with the 21 22 Prosecution's case? 23 MR. KOVACIC: [Interpretation] That it should be translated we 24 hoped that we would have it received, because there were discussions about that, but that was some five years ago, but I'm sure that we 25

1	discussed the topic with our client. We discussed the positions of the
2	Prosecution not only in the pre-trial brief but in other documents. You
3	know that Mr. Praljak was actively involved, and he came to the two
4	Status Conferences himself, and he was well informed. However, my
5	objection went to the fact that he himself could not read that.
6	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, I will share
7	with you some passages of the pre-trial brief because I want to know what
8	your position is, but I must tell you that I'm somewhat surprised by the
9	fact that you did not get this document in your own language. This may

10	be a shortcoming in our rules if one feels that the pre-trial brief,
11	which is also part of the indictment, is not translated for an accused
12	into his own language. This is a shame. It's a shame that no one has
13	thought of that. But you have told us that you have not read it in your
14	own language. We can't do much about it.
15	Let me get back to Mr. Tudjman. You told us a while ago that he
16	was unable to promote a personal action without addressing the
17	intricacies of the Croatian constitution, which I'm sure you're more
18	familiar with than I am.
19	Could he, if he had the majority in parliament and if the
20	Croatian people did not agree with him, could he have been out-voted?
21	According to the Croatian constitution at the time, was it possible for
22	an elected president to step down if his political project did not meet
23	the approval of his people and his electorate?
24	A. Of course, Your Honour Judge Antonetti. The Croatian
25	constitution is very precise. I subscribed to it to its every part. At

that time, Franjo Tudjman on three or four occasions ran for office. He expressed very clear political views and positions. He won in the elections. His removal is prescribed by the constitution by way of referendum, by a vote in the parliament. The Croatian constitution guarantees a very high and precise system of democratic decision-making similar to the one that exists in your country, I believe. And let me now answer your question. Your Honour

8 Judge Antonetti, I never read the indictment to the despair of my Defence 9 counsel, especially Mrs. Pinter, for a very simple reason, because I have 10 nothing whatsoever to do with the indictment, and I revolt after the 11 third or the fourth page. I become nauseous and my blood pressure rises 12 to the extent that my health becomes -- becomes jeopardised, and I refute 13 the indictment in its entirety because it has nothing whatsoever to do 14 with the reality. 15 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. This is understandable. And this is what you are telling us, for a number of 16 reasons you did not want to scrutinise the indictment. Had you done it, 17 however, Mr. Praljak, you would have been able to better state your case, 18 because this is an extremely important document. 19 20 Your Honour, I answer questions, I know what I did, and to be Α. honest with you, I would not accept for my guilt to be proven beyond any 21 reasonable doubt. I would rather subscribe to the following: If there 22 23 is an iota of a reasonable doubt that I belong to a joint criminal 24 enterprise or personally committed a crime, please try me, convict me, 25 and I will subscribe to that. Find an iota of doubt, but don't say

1	everybody set fire, and when Praljak could not pull out everybody who was
2	on fire out of that fire, then it's a different story. That's not
3	something I would subscribe to. I would not subscribe to the guilt
4	because you didn't do what we think you should have done or you could
5	have done.

6 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] General Praljak, this is not 7 what we are discussing. The Trial Chamber has not deliberated on this 8 matter. I can't say that we are light years away from this, but I'm 9 trying to understand gradually what you are charged with, and I'm trying to better understand what you are telling us. This is why I'm putting 10 11 questions to you. 12 Unfortunately, time flies and I have to make a break. We shall 13 now have a 20-minute break unless my colleague would like to add something. 14 15 JUDGE TRECHSEL: I don't think we can leave without response. Your request, Mr. Praljak, to be convicted if there's the slightest doubt 16 17 of your innocence, things do not work like that. You are only convicted 18 if there is no doubt about your guilt. So you will not change the law, and you have to put up with it. Thank you. 19 A. I know, Your Honour, but what I was saying was that I was 20 21 prepared even to subscribe to that. 22 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] We're now having a 20-minute 23 break. 24 --- Recess taken at 5.42 p.m. 25 --- On resuming at 6.04 p.m.

Page 41429

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So we resume our hearing.
 Mr. Praljak, during the break I perused again the memorial, and I
 will read you something and you'll tell me. It's paragraph 24, pre-trial

4

brief. I will read it very slowly.

5	"During a meeting in Zagreb on the 27th of December, 1991," that
6	is to say, two days after Christmas. You told me you had met Tudjman
7	before Christmas. So this is a specific period. This is very close for
8	the date, "Franjo Tudjman summarised the objective of the common joint
9	criminal enterprise, and he stated," I quote what Mr. Tudjman is supposed
10	to have said, but I will specify that there is no footnote, so nobody
11	knows where the Prosecution got this quotation for. They will certainly
12	research it and will let us know later where they found this, but I'm
13	going to quote what is written here. This is what Tudjman would have
14	said. Listen:
15	"It is time for us to take the opportunity, to seize the
16	opportunity, to unite the Croatian people inside borders as wide as
17	possible."
18	This is what Mr. Tudjman would have said in December 1991.
19	Therefore, Mr. Tudjman would have said, and I'm using the conditional
20	tense, that from his point of view it was necessary to seize the occasion
21	to unite the Croatian people in borders as wide as possible.
22	What do you have to say to this?
23	A. Your Honours, I read all this and I know what was discussed. The
24	matter in hand was this, it was very simple: Franjo Tudjman isn't
25	speaking about borders here but about the nation, the people, a united

Page 41430

1 people. And only one thing was clear, and that was that the borders of

2 the republics are inviolable, signed by the United Nations, repeated a 3 hundred times.

However, if the Muslims, the leadership led by Alija Izetbegovic, 4 5 which was reaching agreements with the Serbs and negotiating with the 6 Serbs, wanted to annex Bosnia and Herzegovina to Yugoslavia, to conjoin 7 it with Yugoslavia, then and only then would the Croats of 8 Bosnia-Herzegovina wish and desire and have the right not to go to 9 Yugoslavia within as broad as borders as possible. We do not want to go to Yugoslavia. Only under that condition, mathematically speaking, a 10 necessary condition, a necessary condition, a historical agreement, and I 11 presented it to you here, about the fact that Mr. Izetbegovic wanted to 12 unite the Muslims of whom there were 2.300.000 in Bosnia, 2 million in 13 14 Kosovo, in Sandzak so many, in Macedonia so many. So because of his nation, his people to want to conjoin with Serbia, we would not agree to 15 16 that. That was the sole fact within that whole story and nothing more 17 than that. 18 I claim that today, too, that a sovereign nation, a 19 constitutive -- a constituent nation has the right to decide not to join 20 a state it doesn't want to join if it is a constituent nation and a

21 sovereign nation and not a national minority under the constitution.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] So you knew about this sentence, and you have explained to us what the interpretation is to be given to this sentence from your point of view.

25

Now, going back to Mr. Tudjman -- excuse me. My colleague --

1	JUDGE TRECHSEL: I would like to elaborate a little bit.
2	Mr. Praljak, we have a quotation in English. I don't know exactly what
3	the translation was.
4	Are you saying are you doubting that Tudjman ever said
5	something like that, or do you accept that this is or may be a correct
6	rendering of a sentence said by him?
7	A. Judge Trechsel, that's a good question. I simply don't know
8	whether Tudjman said it that way, but I do know, and I've read through
9	all those documents again, he repeated it a hundred times, thousands of
10	times. Anybody who wanted to listen, from Cutileiro. Bosnia-Herzegovina
11	from Cutileiro was defined, and we said yes. And then Vance-Owen, we
12	said yes; and Stoltenberg, said yes; and the recognition of
13	Bosnia-Herzegovina, yes; borders, yes. The demand that UN forces be
14	placed at the border, that was asked, called for umpteen times. So
15	always one and the same thing. Bosnia-Herzegovina, yes. The Croats in
16	Bosnia-Herzegovina need to get their right to self-government,
17	self-administration either within a confederation or federation or canton
18	or whatever. Mostly there was the example of Switzerland quoted. For
19	goodness sake, there's Switzerland. Let's follow the example of
20	Switzerland.
21	But I don't know whether this quotation is correct, because
22	sometimes a word is lost and it needn't always reflect the exact
23	political position taken. That is why it is necessary yes, I
24	apologise. Yes, yes.

find the place where it's quoted from perhaps. I think we leave it at 1 2 that. 3 MR. KOVACIC: Your Honour. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Yes, Mr. Kovacic. 4 5 MR. KOVACIC: Maybe just for reference, this is a citation from presidential transcript which is already in evidence. Okay. Thank you. 6 7 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Thank you. Mr. Praljak, let's go back to Mr. Tudjman. We know, because you 8 9 told us today, and you also told us a few days ago, that you knew the son of Mr. Tudjman very well. Did you continue to have relations with him 10 after you were indicted here? 11 12 Α. A friendly relationship, yes. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] When the indictment was 13 14 published, revealed with the name of Tudjman, what was the reaction of the Croatian political class in general? 15 16 A. All those who, with the exclusion, that is, of a number, a small 17 number of those who -- a negligible number, negligible number of people 18 who -- who in that respect, just like the international community, took 19 for granted the very bad information and speedy -- speedily disseminated 20 information. Everybody else was absolutely astounded by the accusations and indictment and -- because of all the facts that I've said. You arm, 21 22 you receive refugees and the wounded, and you help and assist in every

23	way possible.	You recognise	Bosnia, et	cetera,	et cetera,	and then
24	somebody comes	up and say, "	You did all	that to	trick Amer	ica," whereas
25	in all the tran	nscripts the b	asic problem	n our	basic probl	lem was to see

1 what it is the international community wishes and how to adapt to their 2 wishes.

3 THE INTERPRETER: Microphone, please.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Excuse me. General Praljak, I 4 5 read, just as you did, transcripts. You have all the documents. You have all the papers. Clearly, but we'll come back to this in detail 6 7 later, now I want to speak about the generalities, Mr. Tudjman discovered 8 this Stupni Dol business, and the question of the destruction of the Old 9 Bridge on Mostar, the transcript of Stupni Dol, not torpedo, which is the village. Stupni Dol, excuse me. Right. Everybody understood, I think. 10 He discovered this and you can read this in the transcript. He didn't 11 12 know about it. He discovered this later on, and all the questions he 13 asks show this.

Is it possible, according to you, that Mr. Tudjman might not have had a complete vision of the events which were unfolding in Bosnia-Herzegovina, in the republic? Did his inner circle, his councillors, did they give -- tell him everything? Did he have first-hand information, or were they not well informed? A. In certain aspects he received the wrong information. Otherwise, generally speaking, with umpteen talks with Izetbegovic people came to

21	tell him what was going on, so in general terms, yes, he did he was
22	informed. But neither him nor anybody from outside, nor you,
23	Your Honours, nor the Prosecution, can fully understand what the
24	situation was given that chaotic war situation. That is just not
25	possible. So that everybody summarises this, reduces it, adapts it, and

1	so on and so forth, and then you enter into the higher realms of thought,
2	what ought to be done should have been done, and so on. However, the
3	despair on the ground, death and so on, you can't understand that.
4	Nobody can understand that unless they were there. Nobody can fully
5	understand the situation unless they were there.
6	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] After the 8th of November, when
7	you went back to Zagreb, was did Mr. Tudjman receive you immediately?
8	A. No, no, not not straight away. Not immediately. We had some
9	meetings later on. I think I attended some meeting of the VONS or
10	whatever before I was relieved of my duties. So not immediately, no.
11	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] He received you how many weeks
12	or months later?
13	A. I really can't be sure, Judge Antonetti. I really can't answer
14	that question. My memory doesn't serve me that well.
15	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] How did you live through this
16	period? You were the commander of the HVO under the authority of
17	Mr. Mate Boban, but you were a Croatian, important person. When you went
18	back to your country, Croatia, where you had responsibilities previously,

Mr. Tudjman does not receive you immediately? How did you take this, or was it a way of disavowing you?

A. Well, in that respect, Judge Antonetti, I'm not vain. Somebody

21

22 would look at it as an insult, but afterwards I was given the duty of 23 -- I think this was afterwards. Was I? Was that afterwards, that I was 24 a chief of his military cabinet, that I was his military advisor for a 25 short time. I organised the archive of the Croatian army. And as you

Page 41435

were able to see in one of my articles in the "Hrvatski Vojnik," Croatian 1 2 soldier, I didn't mind what I did. But the fact is that as they were 3 accusing me more and more of destroying -- having destroyed the Old Bridge and how this propaganda was disseminated, and the Old Bridge was 4 5 destroyed precisely because of that propaganda, but as this propaganda 6 was burgeoning, I became a persona non grata more and more, and I was, 7 well, let's say less important, which I didn't mind privately speaking, 8 but I did begin to mind because of the lies that were being put out. 9 Now, as far as my departure down there is concerned, I wouldn't 10 have looked for my replacement until the Muslim offensive in our area 11 resulted in a downfall, that is to say, against the HVO. I was a good warrior. I was a good commander as far as was 12 13 possible, so I wouldn't have given in there. 14 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] You heard just as I did the American ambassador who came to bear witness just where you're seated 15

16 now, and we understood that practically every day he was in contact with

17 Mr. Tudjman either by telephone or directly. Therefore, the United 18 States of America were scrutinising what was going on in the field. The 19 same ambassador told us, I quote this from memory because I don't have 20 the transcript here, he told us that the United States of America, 21 through this ambassador, had asked for your departure as well as the 22 departure of Boban, which had been the case. This is what he told us. 23 According to your own knowledge of this matter of politics in 24 Zagreb at the time, of the possible links or relationships between the states and Mr. Tudjman, was it possible that a country may ask that you 25

Page 41436

be set aside as well as Mr. Boban, and that Mr. Tudjman couldn't do
anything else than to give satisfaction to this great power?
A. In answer to your second question, let me give my answer using a
transcript. Franjo Tudjman says: "If America decides to provide a
corridor to Neum, to the Muslim side, then we can do nothing." And that
is true.

So the power and might that certain countries had in the area was such that they shaped the war, and I can say this six times under oath, how it was going to develop and so on. And so this war in Bosnia-Herzegovina was waged, among other things, as a propaganda campaign or a campaign for advertising detergent, for example. You pick an event that took place or didn't take place. The journalists inform about this event, and then you say you have to do that because we have

14

this information.

15 There is no information, Your Honour, in the world public arena 16 about Doljani, Uzdol, and so on. There was no information about the 17 offensive launched by the Muslim forces against the HVO for three months. 18 And it is constantly being repeated that we are doing the attacking. 19 I state that we never attacked. We defended ourselves. 20 Now, as far as Mr. Galbraith is concerned, I don't know what he 21 said about Franjo Tudjman or what he said to Franjo Tudjman, but I asked 22 to be replaced before Stupni Do, and I have nothing to do with Stupni Do. That's number one. 23

And the second point is this: I think that the animosity on the part of Mr. Galbraith towards me was of subsequent development because I

Page 41437

spoke up publicly when he held a public rally, when he spoke in 1 2 Slavonski Brod in the main square there as if it was a local region in America. Well, we're not a banana state for a statesman, foreign 3 4 statesman, to make public speeches. And then he said, "Why are we hearing from this destroyer of the Old Bridge?" 5 6 So it's enough to be an American and of course backed up by the 7 most powerful country in the world. I'm not interested in those powers. 8 What -- it can be whatever power and might they like. 9 It's not true that I was replaced down there --10 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Praljak, another question which I could have asked before but I ask you now. You told us a while 11 12 ago you were in charge of the IPD. So you're somebody who knows the

13 media. You know the power of the media, the role of information, 14 especially during wartime. Therefore, if -- you were chosen for this 15 post, certainly because of your personal qualities at the time in this 16 field.

Now, how is it that you, on the 8th of November, you hear about what happened in this village, how is it that at that specific moment you didn't make a communique as a commander of the HVO that you heard that these events happened and that you immediately ordered an inquiry? Why didn't you do this?

A. I did, Your Honour Judge Antonetti. However, the problem of advertising, or as we say in modern times propaganda, is the problem of quantity. It's not just one -- or PR. It's not one piece of news. When you advertise Coca-Cola, you send out these advertisements 500 times a

Page 41438

1 day over all the television channels.

After the Old Bridge I came to the television station and said, "For the finger of one of my soldiers I would have destroy the bridge," because a commander has to say that. But I did not destroy the Old Bridge. You know what this is like? It's like throwing a few grains of salt into the sea. They broadcast it over 30 television channels and keep repeating it umpteen times, but I say things just once and it's as if I never said it at all.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] You're telling me you did that
and it was lost. You know better than anybody else, since you're a

specialist and methods of reporting, you know that when there are pictures on CNN or Sky, you see the impact it may have. And Mr. Tudjman, we'll talk about it -- in several transcripts you'll see he speaks about this.

15 When these dramatic events take place, maybe at your level it was necessary -- it would have been necessary to set the record straight, in 16 17 particular for the international media who are going to hurry on this. 18 Now, for the Old Bridge you said you did this. Okay. I'm taking your word for it. But for this village, what did you exactly do? 19 A. The same thing, Your Honour. I don't know. In some interview I 20 said no. Nor did I have any communication, nor did I issue orders, and 21 22 after that we undertook everything prescribed by law, an investigation. 23 We included the international community. We handed it over to the investigating judge. We said, "For God's sake, launch an inquiry." But 24 stories are stories. Today wars are shaped through CNN. That is what we 25

Page 41439

can read in any book on communications and PR. If CNN wants to wage war, you're going to have a war. They come in first, and then you just wait for the war to break out, from Baghdad onwards. So it's a question of power and might. And everything that you asked me I said and denied for as long as people wanted to listen to me, until I said I'm going to investigate into the matter. JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Right. Now, we'll leave

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Right. Now, we'll leave
Mr. Tudjman for a moment, and we will now speak about Mr. Susak, who is

9

mentioned in the indictment.

10 You told us Mr. Susak was one of your classmates. How could you 11 define Mr. Susak, who was once defence minister? According to you, what 12 were his qualities?

A. Mr. Susak was sabotaged by the services of the former state. In one occasion he was incarcerated in Mostar. He was detained there. My father found him there, took him out of the prison, gave him money to go home.

Just like many others, he went to study in Rijeka, and when he 17 could not stick it out anymore he emigrated to Canada, and that was in 18 the 1960s, maybe in the 1968 or 1967. And when he returned, he was 19 20 already shaped up by a democratic society. For 30 years he had been 21 abroad. He left as a young lad, and he returned as a man of 46, and he 22 had very clear democratic ideas in every possible sense of the word. And 23 in that sense, our private and our official communication remained at a very enviable level. We talked about everything. I don't know that we 24 25 had any disparaging opinions about anything. None whatsoever that I can

Page 41440

1 think of.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] What was he doing in Canada? A. In Canada he established, together with a group of people, the Croatian language department in Ottawa or in Toronto. I don't know exactly where. And he was the owner of a company and then a very big pizza parlor. That's how he made a living. But in addition to that he

7 held demonstrations in front of the Yugoslav embassy with regard to some 8 events in Yugoslavia. He expressed his positions democratically based on 9 what he knew about Yugoslavia. And he also established the department of Croatian language in either Ottawa or Toronto. I can't tell you exactly 10 11 where. 12 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] I'm asking you these questions, 13 because in the indictment and in the pre-trial brief we don't have all 14 this information. How can you, if you make pizzas and/or deliver them, how 15 16 can become --It was possible for a very simple reason. The quality of his 17 Α. education was much higher than would be required by a pizza parlor owner. 18 19 He did not make pizzas. He just owned the pizza place, and we're talking about two different things here, of course. 20 21 I was a waiter, Your Honour, with three university degrees, and I 22 did not become a general as a former waiter but as somebody who was 23 familiar with 30 international wars in much better terms than many 24 generals who have completed very high education in America, for example. 25 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] When he returns from Canada to

Page 41441

play a part on the military and political stage in Croatia, the Croatians who were in Zagreb or elsewhere who were there, did they accept the fact that expatriates came back and the fact that these people were very close to Franjo Tudjman and played or held prominent positions?

5 A. He did not become defence minister straight away. First he was a 6 party member, and then he became the minister of immigration in the 7 Government of the Republic of Croatia. Croatia has a huge number of emigrants. In addition to Poland and Ireland, Croatia is a country which 8 experienced huge waves of emigration. In America there are over 9 2 million Croats of the first, second, and third generation, and that's 10 11 only in America. That's what Mr. Susak became. And since the two of his 12 predecessor -- predecessors did not go -- do a good job, Tudjman chose Susak. 13 There were some shows of resistance against Gojko Susak because 14 there was a structure in the HDZ which was a hard -- an element of 15

16 hard-liners, Communists hard-liners like Mr. Manolic and some others did 17 not gladly accept him, and those were the same people that had prosecuted him. He did not have anything against them. However, he would not be 18 19 ill-treated by the people and the views that they upheld about Croatia. The soldiers, however, liked Gojko Susak because he was a simple 20 21 person, because he was a normal person, because he perceived his job as a 22 job that he dedicated his life to without any political ambitions, any 23 ambitions to achieve a political career.

24 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] It is always difficult to 25 extract information from the dead.

Page 41442

You met him on several occasions. What were his views on
 Herceg-Bosna, on Bosnia and Herzegovina, on the Croats in Bosnia and

3 Herzegovina? Did he have any personal views or was that not a problem in 4 his eyes?

5 A. For him and for me it was a problem, as it was for Franjo Tudjman, and all of us shared an identical position which is 6 testified and documented by all sorts of documents. 7 8 A, the recommendation of Bosnia-Herzegovina as an integral state 9 and the boundaries of Bosnia-Herzegovina as they existed in the time of 10 Communism. However, within Bosnia and Herzegovina, the three peoples had to agree as to how they would arrange their relations. And Muslims would 11 not be the first, or Croats or Serbs. They had to agree as equals, 12 13 because those peoples were equal under the constitution, and nobody was in a position to impose their unitaristic ideas as the Muslims wanted in 14 15 order to achieve their Muslim state. The Serbs wanted the whole country to be integrated with Yugoslavia and that was also out of the question. 16 17 We wanted a Bosnia-Herzegovina, as you could have seen from my statements given to the French, with our own kind of self-government. 18 19 And if the two people could agree, and I'm talking about the Serbs and 20 Muslims, and do differently, it was only then that we would request for 21 the parts where Croats were a majority would not go where they wanted to 22 go. That was our right. That was my position, his position, and that 23 was the position of the Croatian state policy, the position of Franjo Tudjman as well. 24

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Mr. Susak's deputy, since you

25

were in charge of this IPD department, you knew him well, professionally speaking. The mere fact that you were his deputy and you were familiar with the workings of the Ministry of Defence.

4 I'm trying to draw a parallel with Bruno Stojic and the Defence 5 Department of Herceg-Bosna and the MOD of Herceg-Bosna. I'm trying to 6 see whether this functioned in the same way or operated differently. 7 As far as Mr. Susak is concerned, when a military operation was 8 carried out, was it Mr. Tudjman who directed the operation personally, together with his Chief of Staff, or was it the minister of defence who 9 said to Mr. Tudjman, "That battalion will go there. That unit will go 10 there. This and that needs to be done"? What part does -- or did the 11 minister of defence of the Republic of Croatia play at the time? 12 13 The minister of defence of the Republic of Croatia -- or, rather, Α. the ministry was modelled after Western states. In other words, the 14 15 minister of defence looked after the armament, the procurement, the IPD, 16 the personnel issues, and so on and so forth. But the staff or the army, 17 to the extent that they needed -- with regard the salaries on mobilisation relied on the minister of defence. However, the minister of 18 19 defence did not deploy the troops, did not plan operations, did not 20 control operations. He was not in charge of any of that. 21 This was the same thing between Mr. Stojic and myself. 22 Mr. Stojic was in charge, as far as he could be, of the remuneration for the troops, the procurement of food and clothes, to put pressure on 23 municipalities with regard to mobilisation when there was a shortage of 24

25 men. He was also looking after health care, IPD assistance, and so on

1	and so forth. And I was subordinated to Mate Boban when it came to the
2	operations, when, how, with whom, and I did it with my associates, of
3	course.
4	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] To sum up what you've just
5	said, I stand to be corrected, and I stress this, the Minister of
6	Defence, Mr. Susak, was not competent in the field of military
7	operations. He was there to provide equipment, to make sure that the IPD
8	was operating properly, pay out salaries. If I understand correctly,
9	these were administrative tasks. These were not operational tasks.
10	A. Correct, Your Honour. Minister Susak could I apologise.
11	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Since you drew a parallel with
12	the ministers of defence in Western countries, I shall put a technical
13	question to you.
14	In Zagreb the minister of defence, I assume, was located in a
15	building. In the building in which the Ministry of Defence was housed
16	was there an operations room in which officers on duty plotted the units
17	on the map in realtime? Was this something which was done or wasn't it?
18	A. Yes, Your Honour. The ministry building had two floors occupied
19	by the Main Staff of the army, and that's where they had everything that
20	a body of that kind might need.
21	That building did not contain the command of the Croatian Air Force. It
22	was in a different building, and the command of the Navy was in Split.
23	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] There was an operations room,

but according to what you're saying, it was on line 22, page 89, it was in fact the Main Staff that managed this operations room.

Page 41445

1 A. Correct.

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] As far as you know, did the 2 3 minister of defence, you even sometimes when you were deputy minister in 4 charge of IPD, did you ever go into the operations room to see what was 5 happening on the map or did you never do this? A. Your Honour, we're talking about the organisation as it should 6 7 be. The minister of defence obviously had to be informed about any 8 operation. You could talk about that with the minister of defence. You could not plan an operation without being aware of the number of troops 9 available to you, whether you will -- will be able to replenish, whether 10 11 there was enough ammunition, and so on and so forth. 12 When it comes to the planning of any operation, the minister or 13 his assistants were involved. Any minister -- any minister's assistant, the assistant for Medical Corps, for IPD, or SIS. The involvement is one 14 15 thing, and the implementation is an entirely different thing. Once the 16 operation is under way, it is military commanders who are in charge. The 17 minister can also follow any operation because he receives requests from 18 the commanders when they need things, and it depends on how the operation 19 develops.

20 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] When you yourself were
21 commander of the HVO from July 1993 to the 8th of November, 1993, did it

happen sometimes that you received a phone call from Mr. Susak, asking you what the situation was like, "Can I help you?" Did you receive phone calls providing you with the information or providing you with the way forward?

1	A. Not in the nature you describe, but there were talks, of course.
2	I would call Minister Susak about certain issues and problems.
3	On the 24th, I sent him a letter requesting two battalions of the
4	Croatian army. I did not receive them, because our military situation
5	was on the brink of disaster at that time. Then I had problems with the
6	training of helicopter pilots, with one general of the Croatian army, and
7	then I asked from Susak to intervene in that matter because that person
8	was just unreasonable, and at the end of the day he did not have the
9	right to do what he was doing.
10	So on my side there were some requests upon Minister Susak for
11	him to approve some men for the Main Staff, some volunteers, to let them
12	go from the Croatian army. But you have all the documents to that
13	effect, Your Honours.
14	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] We have a presidential
15	transcript. We shall get back to this because I don't have it before me
16	right now, but after you left after November 1993, there is a meeting
17	between Mr. Tudjman, Mr. Susak, and Mr. Bobetko during which clearly maps
18	are laid out, and Mr. Tudjman is discussing where the various people are,
19	and it's quite surprising to discover that Mr. Tudjman was very well

20	informed on with what was happening on the ground since he gives the
21	name of commanders on the ground, which means, according to this
22	document, that Mr. Tudjman could be very well informed. And on seeing
23	this document, I wondered how he could be made aware of all of this.
24	This is why I put this question to you and asked you if you received a
25	telephone call from Mr. Susak saying or informing you about what was

1	happening in realtime, and you then answered by saying that sometimes you
2	phoned him up because you needed reinforcements, and this is something
3	which is mentioned in a number of transcripts.
4	So what I'm interested in is a question set against the backdrop
5	of the joint criminal enterprise. I wanted to know whether at Susak's
6	level, Tudjman and Bobetko, of course, there was a follow-up in realtime
7	of the military situation in Herceg-Bosna. That's what I'm interested
8	in. What do you have to say to that?
9	A. No, there was nothing realtime, Your Honour. Probably every now
10	and then they would receive information from somebody else. The
11	information I'm familiar with the transcript and the talks. We
12	provided this information at a meeting in early November in Split. The
13	information was very clear and precise. Irrespective of the fact that
14	the offensive had already been crushed, there was still a clear and
15	present intent of the Army of Bosnia and Herzegovina to come to the
16	borders of Bosnia and Herzegovina and and those in the direction of
17	the sea, in the direction of Ploce.

I am saying that at -- if they had succeeded at the time, the international community would have given the area from Neum to Prevlaka to Serbs. They would have given the area from Neum to Ploce to Bosnia, and nobody would have blinked an eyelid.

I wanted for the offensive to be stopped -- put a stop to because it was against the Croatian people, and I asked for assistance from Croatia. Unfortunately, I did not receive that on the 24th. I received -- I repeated my request on the 5th of November, and you can see

Page 41448

1	it in the transcript. What I'm saying is for them to give me at least
2	two battalions. This is all I was asking for in light of the fact that
3	we were dealing with aggression, with an intent to occupy territories
4	after the agreement was reached with Serbs in the month of September.
5	One cannot be viewed without the other. If the Muslims and the
6	Serbs had agreed in the month of September 1993 that they would create
7	two states in Bosnia and Herzegovina, the Serbian state and the so-called
8	Muslim and Croatian state without Croats, mind you, and that the right
9	would be given to the Serbs to go to a referendum and leave that state,
10	then, Your Honours, we are talking about aggression against the Croatian
11	people in the Neretva valley and further down towards the sea.
12	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Since you are familiar with all
13	the presidential transcript, these questions are easy for you. You don't
14	have to do any research work.

We have a presidential transcript where clearly there's quite a

15

16 heated discussion between Mr. Tudjman, Mr. Susak, and Mr. Bobetko on the 17 subject of volunteers and the fact that the minister of defence was 18 issuing decrees on the mobilisation of volunteers. Mr. Tudjman says that the international community and CNN, once they heard about this, would be 19 in a political conundrum. So clearly Tudjman reproaches Susak for this, 20 21 which means that I can now address the question of the volunteers. 22 Was it Mr. Susak who had the idea of sending "volunteers" to 23 Bosnia and Herzegovina, or did he only manage them from an administrative point of view? 24

Page 41449

believe that it was my idea originally. I believe so.

A. Well, Your Honour Judge Antonetti, it was not Gojko Susak. I

2 In its ranks, Croatia had between 12 and 15.000 volunteers from 3 Bosnia-Herzegovina, and when war stopped in Croatia and those who had fled from Bosnia-Herzegovina, there were tens of thousands of those, and 4 5 those people didn't want to defend their homes. Under the constitution 6 of the Republic of Croatia, and because of the fact that Mr. Izetbegovic 7 did not want to sign a military agreement with the Republic of Croatia, 8 one could not send the regular army to Bosnia and Herzegovina, and the 9 only way you could do it was to send volunteers. You could offer them 10 salaries, and that was offered to both Muslims and Croats who went to wager war in Bosnia-Herzegovina. You could offer them a rank, for 11 example. You could offer them some other benefits and perks. 12

Despite all of that, and in that transcript you can see that

13

25

1

14 volunteers for the operation in Uskoplje which failed were only 400. 15 Four hundred volunteers, not more than that, and that's how things fell 16 through up there after my departure. And then in January 1994, I 17 returned there again because the whole situation was poorly managed up 18 there, and there was an imminent danger that the Army of Bosnia-Herzegovina would break through towards Rama. And the lads called 19 20 me, "Come back," and I did, because we defended ourselves. All I did was 21 throughout -- throughout the war was to defend myself. We did not attack Serbs in Serbia, anywhere. We did not attack their villages. You can 22 see it in the transcript. We defended Bosnia-Herzegovina and the Muslim 23 24 and Croat people in the areas that we managed to defend, and we never 25 made a difference between the two.

Page 41450

JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] It is just about time to stop.
 We have a few seconds left.

As work planned for tomorrow, I shall resume my questions and address the issue of Mr. Bobetko. Then I shall review the other co-accused, Mr. Prlic, Mr. Stojic, Mr. Pusic, Mr. Petkovic, to see what connections there were between these people, and Mr. Coric also, whom I had forgotten, what contacts you had with these people and how you got to know them.

9 I shall address three cases which are mentioned in the pre-trial 10 brief, Blaskic, Kordic, and Naletilic, who according to the Prosecutor 11 are members of a joint criminal enterprise since they are, all three of 12 them, quoted in the pre-trial brief. We shall spend quite a lot of time on each individual case, and after that I shall start reviewing the 13 14 documents, and I shall start with the contested documents which, according to you, are forged documents. 15 16 I hope my questions have not tired you too much. If you are tired, please let us know, but you have all night to recover, and we 17 shall meet again tomorrow afternoon, since we will be sitting at a 18 19 quarter past 2.00. 20 That said, I have another hearing in another case in the morning. Mr. Karnavas, I believe you would like to say something. 21 MR. KARNAVAS: Good afternoon --22 23 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Thank you very much. I'm not 24 tired. I will answer any question even if it takes months. I would like this to take months. 25

1	MR. KARNAVAS: Well, speaking of speaking of months,
2	Mr. President, Your Honours, in light of in light of what you just
3	told us, it would appear that you your questioning will continue for
4	the rest of the week, just for scheduling purposes. That's number one.
5	And number two, earlier when you mentioned a transcript when you
6	spoke of volunteers, I assume that that was from memory, but when you get
7	to the documents you will be referring to it for our purposes, for the
8	record, just so we know exactly, you know, where to look for.
9	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very much so. Yes indeed. I

10 said "volunteers."

11	Yes, Mr. Stringer, you wanted to say something?
12	MR. STRINGER: Well, not about this particular issue. It has to
13	do with the IC documents that the President inquired earlier today what
14	the Prosecution position might be on filing its response to the
15	IC document of the Praljak Defence, and we've conferred and they have
16	filed their final IC list today relating to the documents that were used
17	last week, and our proposal would be for the Prosecution to file its
18	response to to all of those documents one week from today, next
19	Monday. And if I could
20	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Right. We'll talk about this
21	between ourselves, and we'll let you know tomorrow if we agree. I don't
22	know yet. We'll discuss it between ourselves.
23	MR. KOVACIC: I would like to say that this is very reasonable
24	and seven days would be really appropriate. Thank you.
25	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Very well. I will consult my

1	colleagues, see if we can go fairly fast and then we could perhaps give
2	our decision immediately.
3	[Trial Chamber confers]
4	JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Right. I consulted my
5	colleagues, as you've seen. We have taken note of the fact that you
6	asked for seven days. Defence thinks it is reasonable, the Judges also.
7	So you are given seven days for this. There's no problem about it.

8	Therefore, Mr. Praljak, no other questions. Therefore, good
9	evening, and we will meet tomorrow afternoon. The Chamber is adjourned.
10	Whereupon the hearing adjourned at 7.03 p.m.,
11	to be reconvened on Tuesday, the 16th day
12	of June, 2009, at 2.15 p.m.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	